EXECUTIVE SUMMARIES

During the course of the inspection at the Hill and Plain Elementary School, five (5) material types were sampled and analyzed for asbestos content. These samples represented materials that were previously assumed to be ACBM and were sampled to determine the actual asbestos content of the material or previously not identified during the initial inspection.

The following materials were sampled and analyzed for asbestos content:

	<u>Material</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Status</u>	Result
1.	Mud Pack Fittings	1962 pipe tunnel	previously assumed	3% Chrysotile
2.	Mud Pack Fittings	Office Area (ADC)	newly identified	Negative
3.	9" x 9" floor tile	Throughout	previously assumed	2% Chrysotile
4.	12" x 12" floor tile	Throughout	previously assumed	Negative
5.	12" x 12" floor tile mastic	Throughout	previously assumed	Negative

No additional ACBM was identified.

During the course of the three-year reinspection, a total of two areas were assessed differently from the original inspection. These areas represented areas where the condition of the ACBM had changed or deteriorated. These areas include the following locations:

1. 1962 pipe tunnel Elbow mud-pack fittings

2. Throughout 9" x 9" VAT

There are approximately 17 fittings which are recommended removal in the 1962 pipe tunnels. There are some areas of $9" \times 9"$ VAT that have been removed or covered with carpeting.

A tentative start and completion date is also included in the last column of the Reinspection Form 2. The cost associated with the abatement of the ACBM noted as being damaged or significantly damaged is given in the following cost estimates. These line items are also prioritized.

ABATEMENT COST ESTIMATES

ACBM Qty/	Response	Estimated	Estimated	
Location	Action	Contractor Cost	Consultant Fees	<u>Total</u>

1. 1962 pipe 4 tunnel/17 fittings

\$1100

Consulting Fees

It is estimated that approximatley \$500 will be required for project monitoring. An additional consulting fee of approximately \$500 should be budgeted for AHERA required project design, associated contract administration, and project management.

It should be noted that only locations with assessments of 1 through 5 are recommended for abatement.

EPA CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

The certificates of the individuals involved in conducting the inspections and preparing the management plan update are appended to this document.

Sample Reinspection Form 1. Original AHERA Inspection Information Abstracted from the Management Plan

School Hill & Plain Elementary Building 1962 With 1986 Addition Date(s) of Original AHERA Inspection March 1-6, 90

information abstracted by	C(4)	C(3)	C(2)	<i>C(i)</i>	A(2)	A(i)	IO number	Homog
Maine	Viny/ Asbestos Floor Tile 9%9" 30,38555	ACBY Transite SOFFT 4400 SF	2x4 Layed-in ceiling the 3,9605F	2'x4' Cayedin Ceiling tile 33,7855F	Asbestos-coment elbocus + fittings 305-550	Plumbing + Flux Breeching	Material description	Homogeneous sampling areas
Mayisse	TSI Surt. Misc.	TSI Surt. Misc.	Surf.	TSI Surf.	Surt.	(TSL) Surf. Misc.	Material calegory	
01	Yes No	Assumed Yes No	Assumed Yes No	Assumed Yes No	Yes No	Assumed Yes	Asbestos content	
	× (X) TI	×(T) T	X N	× 7, 7, 7,	× 👸 🕏	T F	Friability	
Dale	\times	\succ	line	None	7	More	calegory (1-7, X, None)	AHERA
May 28, 93	1962 section	Atom the obseror cave	1986 Section	1962 Jection	Par Tunnels	Boiles Kan	Pacorded locations of material for each assessment category	
***************************************	Sec years of	i	Non-ACBU	Non-ACBH	Comedia of which in great	Non-ACRU	Response actions taken/ renovations/other comments	

Friability: F = triable, NF = nontriable, X = not applicable (material is non-ACBM)

AHERA assessment category: 1 * Damaged or significantly damaged TSI ACBM, 2 * Damaged friable surfacing ACBM, 3 * Significantly damaged friable surfacing ACBM, 4 * Damaged or ACBM or Iriable suspected ACBM, X = not applicable (material is non-ACBM or nontriable surfacing or miscellaneous material). None = No assessment category provided significantly damaged triable miscellaneous ACBM, 5 = ACBM with potential for damage, 6 = ACBM with potential for significant damage, 7 = Any remaining triable in original inspection.

756 63

School Sample Reinspection Form 1. Original AHERA Inspection Information Abstracted from the Management Plan Hill +Plain Elementary Building 1962 with 1986 Releaser Date(s) of Original AHERA Inspection Parch 1-6, 90

	May 28, 93	Dale		1	anasse	Information abstracted by Allerine Vlagasse	Information abs
			×	ž	Misc.		
			죾	Yes	Surf.		
			ור	Assumed	TSI	•	
			×	₹	Misc.		
			돆	Yes	Surt.		
			'n	Assumed	181		
			×	ž	Misc.		
			Y,	Yes	Surf.		
			ור	Assumed	ISI		
			×	No	Misc.	(
			Zn ·n	Yes	Surt.		
			ור	Assumed	IST		
			×	₹.	Misc.		
			긲	Yes	Surf.		_
			וד	Assumed	TSI	-	
		>	×(₽	(Artiso)	3,960SF	(,,
Green Constitution	1986 Seden	۲.		Assumed		Viny/ Asbestos French	1/4)
Response actions taken/ renovations/other comments	Recorded locations of material for each assessment category	calegory (1-7, X, None)	Friability	Asbestos content	Malerial calegory	Material description	10 number
		AHERA				Homogeneous sampling areas	Homo
				1			

Friability: F = friable, NF = noniriable, X = not applicable (material is non-ACBM)

A-VERA assessment category: 1 = Damaged or significantly damaged TSI ACBM, 2 = Damaged friable surfacing ACBM, 3 = Significantly damaged friable surfacing ACBM, 4 = Damaged or significantly damaged triable miscellaneous ACBM, 5 × ACBM with potential for damage, 6 × ACBM with potential for significant damage, 7 × Any remaining triable in original inspection. ACBM or triable suspected ACBM, X × not applicable (material is non-ACBM or nontriable surfacing or miscellaneous material), None × No assessment category provided

school Hill and Plain Elementary Building 1962 with 1986 addition

Date(s) of Reinspection

June 14, 1993

Page 1 of 5

Sample Reinspection Form 2. Reinspection of ACBM: Findings and Management Planner Recommendations

I, the LEA's Designated Person, have read and understood the recommendations made above:	Inspector name Bob Nercicr. Inspector signature Management planner name Accreditation #/State 95-946-736-7 Expiration date Expiration date Expiration date	NF 5. 4 10 No Rositive (3% Christistic.) Date of management planner review: July W	NF αρραχ 2 s.F. No	Chuys (F) Material is stampped (CES) and there is debits (CES)	condition and account	tunnels 1962; 1986 550 E T Material is in good ves	Location(s) of ACBM Fria- gory Justification of assess- response actions, and by assessment category Quantity bility (1-7, X) Cate- Gory Justification of assess- response actions, and litial/additional cleanings Begin	Assess- ment Change	REINSPECTION FINDINGS FOR ACBM MANAGEMENT PLANNER RECOMMENDATIONS
	RAYMOND R. FOLINO	Vuly 6 1663			15 C		Com- Begin plate	Schedule	OMMENDATIONS

. .

Date:		ns made above:	ommendatio	od the reco	ıd and understor	I, the LEA's Designated Person, have read and understood the recommendations made above:
Expiration date					TANK TO THE TANK T	Expiration date
Accreditation #/State						Accreditation #/State
Management planner signature frage f						Inspector signature
HAYMOND R. FOLINO Management planner name						inspector name
Date of management planner review:		(Negative)	(Nec	\\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	ollected? (Yes)	Were additional samples of this ACBM collected?
	₹			꼭		
	Yes .			Ti		
	No			죾		
	Yes			יור		
	8	So access is limited.		Ą	12 f. 4 ings	Closed Adi to Othice
Ochie C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Yes	Marterial is in good	41	9	444.45	Office Area Assl. Principle Office
Preventive measures, response actions, and initial/additional cleanings Begin plete	assess- ment	Justification of assessment category	cate- gory (1-7, X)	Fria- bility	Quantity	Location(s) of ACBM by assessment category
Schedule	Change		Assess- ment			
MANAGEMENT PLANNER RECOMMENDATIONS		СВМ	REINSPECTION FINDINGS FOR ACBM	ION FINDI	REINSPECT	
10 Number A(3) Newly Legal fred		things on Fa. Lines	İ	ud. Par	escription N	Homogeneous Sampling Area: Material Description <u>ト入ッみ・アム・</u> と
Date(s) of Reinspection June 14, 1993		2 wings	ng 1962	. Building	Flomentary	School Hill and Plain Fla
ations Page 2 of 5	nmendati	nd Management Planner Recor	indings a	ACBM: I	nspection of ,	Sample Reinspection Form 2. Reinspection of ACBM: Findings and Management Planner Recommend

School Hill and Plan Elementary Building 1962 Building

Date(s) of Reinspection Jone 14, 1993

Page 3 of 5

Sample Reinspection Form 2. Reinspection of ACBM: Findings and Management Planner Recommendations

		Date:		ons made above:	ommendati	od the rec	and understo	I, the LEA's Designated Person, have read and understood the recommendations made above:
	R. FOLIN	Management planner na The AYMOND R. FOLINO Management planner signature Accreditation #/State Expiration date					Nercien	Inspector name
		Date of management planner review:			V	Yes (No)		Were additional samples of this ACBM collected?
			Z.	- · · ·		짂	<u> </u>	
			Yes		ノナ	ग (
			8			NE S		3
			Yes) TI) T	
	,		₹	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	` \	(A)		
			Yes	Poplax 144 SF was		'n	3480 SF.	Exterior Soffit around
Com-	Begin	Preventive measures, response actions, and initial/additional cleanings	assess- ment	Justification of assessment category	gory (1-7, X)	Fria- bility	Ouantity	Location(s) of ACBM by assessment category
Schedule	(n	•	Change		Assess- ment			
ONS	COMMENDATION	MANAGEMENT PLANNER RECOMMENDATIONS		СВМ	REINSPECTION FINDINGS FOR ACBM	TION FIND	REINSPEC	
		ID Number (3)		50-16.40		Iransite	cription	Homogeneous Sampling Area: Material Description

School Hill and Plain Elementary Building

Sample Reinspection Form 2. Reinspection of ACBM: Findings and Management Planner Recommendations

1962 Wings

Date(s) of Reinspection

14, 1993

Page 4 of 5

	Date:		ns made above:	ommendatic	erstood the rec	d and unde	I, the LEA's Designated Person, have read and understood the recommendations made above	
	Accreditation #/State Expiration date						Accreditation #/State	
	Management planner signature production						lure	
7. FOLINO	RAYMOND R. FOLINO		,			Mercier.	Inspector name Bob M	
	Date of management planner review:		the (2% Chrysothe)	Positie	Yes No	illected?	Were additional samples of this ACBM collected?	
		<u>2</u>	Cupeting	×	No.	are the state of t		
	t to suppose	Yes	Material has been Covered with New	and the second s	CO 17.	12,808 5.F.	Remaining Areas in 1962 Section of School.	. 33
	-	(R)		>	(X)	1925.F.	ASST Prive. Near 12mg	, -,
	-+-1	Yes	Material is in good		7	15, 450s=		· v -*
		No	,		Z 7		15 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
	Remove for Orla	(S)	Material was removed in Summer	None		815%	Corridor - Kinderganten Wing 815ss.	
Com- Begin plete	response actions, and initial/additional cleanings	assess- ment	Justification of assessment category	gory (1-7, X)	Fria- ity bility	Quantity	Location(s) of ACBM by assessment category	
Schedule	Preventive measures,	Change in		Assess- ment cate-	•	-	500 500 500	
MENDATIONS	MANAGEMENT PLANNER RECOMMENDATIONS		СВМ	INGS FOR A	REINSPECTION FINDINGS FOR ACBM	REINSP		
	ID Number C (4))E TILO	7 " "L'000	2 × 2 = 2 × 2 = 2	scription_	Homogeneous Sampling Area: Material Description] Ĭ

		Date:		ns made above:	mmendatio	od the reco	and understo	I, the LEA's Designated Person, have read and understood the recommendations made above;	l, the LE
		Expiration date		den in 1000't tillebit inggebie folkligt pen in in er er tillebit begret som gret stellebingsger				on date	Expiration date
"	Zizac	Accreditation #/State						Accreditation #/State	Accredi
	T.	Management planner signature						Inspector signature	inspecto
	FOLINO	Management planner naRAYMOND R.				P	Mercier	rname Soh	Inspector name
		Date of management planner review:	Asbestas	and Mastic (Negative for	Tile a	₹	ected? (Yes)	Were additional samples of this ACBM collected?	Were ac
			₹			N.			
			Yes			η			
			8			N,			
			Yes			्रम			
	-	© 1985/05.	₹		Ņ	্			
		Plan Matin O.M	Ύes	Good Condition.	<	ıπ	3,960	186	Through.
Com- plete	Begin	Preventive measures, response actions, and initial/additional cleanings	in assess- ment	Justification of assessment category	cate- gory (1-7, X)	Fria- bility	Quantity	Location(s) of ACBM by assessment category	
Jule	Schedule		Change		Assess- ment				
	MENDATIONS	MANAGEMENT PLANNER RECOMMENDATIONS		CBM	NGS FOR A	ION FINDI	REINSPECTION FINDINGS FOR ACBM		
		ID Number		0 d. Tilp	" FL0012	12" × 17"		Homogeneous Sampling Area: Material Description	Homogen
	1993	Date (s) of Reinspection June 14.		1986 Addd.,,A		Building	9 7 7 7 1 9 7 7 7 1	School Hill and Plain Elements	School
\rac{\rac{1}{\sigma}}{\sigma}	Page 5		nmendatio	Sample Reinspection Form 2. Reinspection of ACBM: Findings and Management Planner Recommendations	indings a	ACBM: F	pection of ,	Reinspection Form 2. Reins	Sample