Executive Summary

During the course of the inspection at the Lillis Adminstration Building, one (1) material type was sampled and analyzed for asbestos content. The sample represented material that was previously assumed to be ACBM and was sampled to determine the actual asbestos content of the material.

The only samples taken in the Lillis Administration Building were of 9" x 9" floor tile. The results indicated 2% chrysotile asbestos in the tile.

No additional ACBM was identified.

During the course of the three-year reinspection, there were no areas assessed differently from the original inspection.

There are not immediate abatement activies recommended for this building.

EPA CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

The certificates of the individuals involved in conducting the inspections and preparing the management plan update are appended to this document.

Anthoray Sides only Hallway Sides only Account rack areas Small Office Eartrance Between his dange Kinio tile (3 tiles) Ans Ven 18 - Hallway RM 15 " " " " " Rm 16 12x12 nn13 12×12 Hallway Sodes only contractionlas Rn 14 17 17 12 Enviroscience Consultantiano

Page / c
1 1 11 11

Throughout School
Me
NIA
Chases
(1-7, X, None) for each assessment category
AHERA

Information abstracted by Maine Maine

Date Hay 28, 93

Friability: F = Itlable, NF = nonltiable, X = not applicable (material is non-ACBM)

AHERA assessment category: 1 = Damaged or significantly damaged TSI ACBM, 2 = Damaged Iriable surfacing ACBM, 3 = Significantly damaged Iriable surfacing ACBM, 4 = Damaged or significantly damaged friable miscellaneous ACBM, 5 × ACBM with potential for damage, 6 × ACBM with potential for significant damage, 7 × Any remaining friable ln original inspection. ACBM or triable suspected ACBM, X = not applicable (material is non-ACBM or nontriable surfacing or miscellaneous material), None = No assessment category provided

		Data:		ne mode above.	ommendatio	od the rec	and indersto	the † EA's F
		Expiration date						Expiration date
		Accreditation #/State						Accreditation #/State
		Management planner signature						Inspector signature
		Management planner name					Merciec	Inspector name Bob
		Date of management planner review:				\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	lected? Yes	Were additional samples of this ACBM collected?
			₹			N _r		
			Yes			n		
			₹			N _H		
			Yes			JJ.		
	-		3	Change of the Change of the		Ž		
		4	Yes	Assessed unless		(77)	٠.	Vertical wall chases (assumed to exist)
Com- plete	Begin	Preventive measures, response actions, and initial/additional cleanings	in assess- ment	Justification of assessment category	cate- gory (1-7, X)	Fria- bility	Quantity	Location(s) of ACBM by assessment category
)dule	Schedule		Change		Assess- ment		•	
0,	ENDATIONS	MANAGEMENT PLANNER RECOMMENDATIONS		СВМ	REINSPECTION FINDINGS FOR ACBM	ON FINDI	REINSPECT	
•		ID Number A (1)		مريد المريد المريد	- Sylving	Thermal	cription	Homogeneous Sampling Area: Material Description _
•	15, 1993	Date(s) of Reinspection 1000 15.		1931		Building	Building	School Lillis Admintschafus Building
of 2	Page		nendatio	nd Management Planner Recom	indings a	CBM: F	pection of /	Sample Reinspection Form 2. Reinspection of ACBM: Findings and Management Planner Recommendations

I, the LEA's is ated Person, have read and understood the recommendations made above:	Expiration date	Accreditation #/State	Inspector signature	Inspector name Bob	Were additional samples of this ACBM collected?		Mallway still	competed	Raz.		Through out building	Location(s) of ACBM by assessment category			Homogeneous Sampling Area: Material Description	School Lillis Prinistration Building Building	Sample Reinspection Form 2. Reinspection of ACBM: Findings and Management Planner Recommendations
and understoo				Mercier	ected? (Yes)						58005F	Quantity	•	REINSPECTION FINDINGS FOR ACBM	cription	2 Building	pection of A
d the reco					8	<u>-</u>	Π	¥,	'n	(NE)	TI,	Fria- bility		ON FINDIN	9×9) Buildin	CBM: Fi
mmendatio					Positive.					X		cate- gory (1-7, X)	Assess- ment	IGS FOR AC	Floor		indings ar
ns made above:					ine (2% Chrysotile)					est 1800 st.	Moderial is in good Condition 2 classicoms	Justification of assessment category		WBK	110	1931	d Management Planner Recom
						N.	Yes	₹	Yes	8	Yes	in assess- ment	Change				nmendatio
Date:	Expiration date	Accreditation #/State	Management planner signature	Management planner name	Date of management planner review:						Ŋ	Preventive measures, response actions, and initial/additional cleanings		MANAGEMENT PLANNER RECOMMENDATIONS	ID Number (2)	Date(s) of Reinspection	
											, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	Begin	Sch	MENDATION		1993	Page 2
							.,					Com- plete	Schedule	S	,	•	2 of 2