

Assessment

Assessment in the Diploma Programme

General

Assessment is an integral part of teaching and learning. The most important aim of assessment in the DP is that it should support curricular goals and encourage appropriate student learning. Both external and internal assessments are used in the DP. IB examiners mark work produced for external assessment, while work produced for internal assessment is marked by teachers and externally moderated by the IB.

There are two types of assessment identified by the IB.

Formative assessment informs both teaching and learning. It is concerned with providing accurate and helpful feedback to students and teachers on the kind of learning taking place and the nature of students' strengths and weaknesses in order to help develop students' understanding and capabilities. Formative assessment can also help to improve teaching quality, as it can provide information to monitor progress towards meeting the course aims and objectives.

Summative assessment gives an overview of previous learning and is concerned with measuring student achievement.

The DP primarily focuses on summative assessment designed to record student achievement at, or towards the end of, the course of study. However, many of the assessment instruments can also be used formatively during the course of teaching and learning, and teachers are encouraged to do this. A comprehensive assessment plan is viewed as being integral with teaching, learning and course organization. For further information, see the IB *Programme standards and practices* document.

The approach to assessment used by the IB is criterion-related, not norm-referenced. This approach to assessment judges students' work by their performance in relation to identified levels of attainment, and not in relation to the work of other students. For further information on assessment within the DP please refer to the publication *DP assessment: Principles and practice*.

To support teachers in the planning, delivery and assessment of the DP courses, a variety of resources can be found on the programme resource centre or purchased from the IB store (store.ibo.org). Additional publications such as specimen papers and markschemes, teacher support materials, subject reports and grade descriptors can also be found on the programme resource centre. Past examination papers as well as markschemes can be purchased from the IB store.

Methods of assessment

The IB uses several methods to assess work produced by students.

Assessment criteria

Assessment criteria are used when the assessment task is open-ended. Each criterion concentrates on a particular skill that students are expected to demonstrate. An assessment objective describes what students should be able to do, and assessment criteria describe how well they should be able to do it. Using assessment criteria allows discrimination between different answers and encourages a variety of responses. Each criterion comprises a set of hierarchically-ordered level descriptors. Each level descriptor is worth one or more marks. Each criterion is applied independently using a best-fit model. The maximum marks for each criterion may differ according to the criterion's importance. The marks awarded for each criterion are added together to give the total mark for the piece of work.

Markbands

Markbands are a comprehensive statement of expected performance against which responses are judged. They represent a single holistic criterion divided into level descriptors. Each level descriptor corresponds to a range of marks to differentiate student performance. A best-fit approach is used to ascertain which particular mark to use from the possible range for each level descriptor.

Analytic markschemes

Analytic markschemes are prepared for those examination questions that expect a particular kind of response and/or a given final answer from students. They give detailed instructions to examiners on how to break down the total mark for each question for different parts of the response.

Marking notes

For some assessment components marked using assessment criteria, marking notes are provided. Marking notes give guidance on how to apply assessment criteria to the particular requirements of a question.

Inclusive assessment arrangements

Inclusive assessment arrangements are available for candidates with assessment access requirements. These arrangements enable candidates with diverse needs to access the examinations and demonstrate their knowledge and understanding of the constructs being assessed.

The IB's *Access and inclusion policy* provides details on all the inclusive assessment arrangements available to candidates with learning support requirements. The IB document *Learning diversity and inclusion in the IB programmes* outlines the position of the IB with regard to candidates with diverse learning needs in the IB programmes. For candidates affected by adverse circumstances, the IB documents *General regulations: Diploma Programme* and *Diploma Programme Assessment procedures* provide details on access consideration.

Responsibilities of the school

The school is required to ensure that equal access arrangements and reasonable adjustments are provided to candidates with learning support requirements that are in line with the IB documents *Access and inclusion policy* and *Learning diversity and inclusion in the IB programmes*.

Assessment outline—SL

First assessment 2021

Assessment component	Weighting
<p>External assessment (3 hours)</p> <p>Paper 1 (90 minutes)</p> <p>No technology allowed. (80 marks)</p> <p><i>Section A</i></p> <p>Compulsory short-response questions based on the syllabus.</p> <p><i>Section B</i></p> <p>Compulsory extended-response questions based on the syllabus.</p>	<p>80%</p> <p>40%</p>
<p>Paper 2 (90 minutes)</p> <p>Technology required. (80 marks)</p> <p><i>Section A</i></p> <p>Compulsory short-response questions based on the syllabus.</p> <p><i>Section B</i></p> <p>Compulsory extended-response questions based on the syllabus</p>	<p>40%</p>
<p>Internal assessment</p>	<p>20%</p>

This component is internally assessed by the teacher and externally moderated by the IB at the end of the course.

Mathematical exploration

Internal assessment in mathematics is an individual exploration. This is a piece of written work that involves investigating an area of mathematics. (20 marks)

Assessment outline—HL

First assessment 2021

Assessment component	Weighting
<p>External assessment (5 hours)</p> <p>Paper 1 (120 minutes)</p> <p>No technology allowed. (110 marks)</p> <p><i>Section A</i></p> <p>Compulsory short-response questions based on the syllabus.</p> <p><i>Section B</i></p> <p>Compulsory extended-response questions based on the syllabus.</p>	<p>80%</p> <p>30%</p>
<p>Paper 2 (120 minutes)</p> <p>Technology required. (110 marks)</p> <p><i>Section A</i></p> <p>Compulsory short-response questions based on the syllabus.</p> <p><i>Section B</i></p> <p>Compulsory extended-response questions based on the syllabus.</p>	<p>30%</p>
<p>Paper 3 (60 minutes)</p> <p>Technology required. (55 marks)</p>	<p>20%</p>

Two compulsory extended response problem-solving questions.	
<p>Internal assessment</p> <p>This component is internally assessed by the teacher and externally moderated by the IB at the end of the course.</p> <p>Mathematical exploration</p> <p>Internal assessment in mathematics is an individual exploration. This is a piece of written work that involves investigating an area of mathematics. (20 marks)</p>	<p>20%</p>

External assessment

General

Mark schemes are used to assess students in all papers. The mark schemes are specific to each examination.

External assessment details—SL

General information

Paper 1 and paper 2

These papers are externally set and externally marked. Together, they contribute 80% of the final mark for the course. These papers are designed to allow students to demonstrate what they know and what they can do.

Papers 1 and 2 will contain some questions, or parts of questions, which are common with HL.

Calculators

Paper 1

Students are not permitted access to any calculator. Questions will mainly involve analytic approaches to solutions, rather than requiring the use of a GDC. The paper is not intended to require complicated calculations, with the potential for careless errors. However, questions will include some arithmetical manipulations when they are essential to the development of the question.

Paper 2

Students must have access to a graphic display calculator (GDC) at all times. However, not all questions will necessarily require the use of the GDC. Regulations covering the types of GDC allowed are provided in Diploma Programme *Assessment procedures*.

Formula booklet

Each student must have access to a clean copy of the formula booklet during the examination. It is the responsibility of the school to download a copy from IBIS or the programme resource centre and to ensure that there are sufficient copies available for all students.

Awarding of marks

Marks are awarded for method, accuracy, answers and reasoning, including interpretation.

In paper 1 and paper 2, full marks are not necessarily awarded for a correct answer with no working. Answers must be supported by working and/or explanations (in the form of, for example diagrams, graphs or calculations). Where an answer is incorrect, some marks may be given for correct method, provided this is shown by written working. All students should therefore be advised to show their working.

Paper 1

Duration: 1 hour 30 minutes

Weighting: 40%

- This paper consists of section A, short-response questions, and section B, extended-response questions.
- Students are not permitted access to any calculator on this paper.

Syllabus coverage

- Knowledge of **all** topics is required for this paper. However, not all topics are necessarily assessed in every examination session.

Mark allocation

- This paper is worth **80** marks, representing **40%** of the final mark.
- Questions of varying levels of difficulty and length are set. Therefore, individual questions may not necessarily each be worth the same number of marks. The exact number of marks allocated to each question is indicated at the start of the question.

Section A

- This section consists of compulsory short-response questions based on the whole syllabus. It is worth approximately 40 marks.
- The intention of this section is to assess students across the breadth of the syllabus. However, it should not be assumed that the separate topics are given equal emphasis.

Question type

- A small number of steps are needed to solve each question.
- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.

Section B

- This section consists of a small number of compulsory extended-response questions based on the whole syllabus. It is worth approximately 40 marks.
- Individual questions may require knowledge of more than one topic.
- The intention of this section is to assess students across the breadth of the syllabus in depth. The range of syllabus topics tested in this section may be narrower than that tested in section A.

Question type

- Questions require extended responses involving sustained reasoning.
- Individual questions will develop a single theme.

- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.
- Normally, each question reflects an incline of difficulty, from relatively easy tasks at the start of a question to relatively difficult tasks at the end of a question. The emphasis is on sustained reasoning.

Paper 2

Duration: 1 hour 30 minutes

Weighting: 40%

- This paper consists of section A, short-response questions, and section B, extended-response questions.
- A GDC is required for this paper, but not every question will necessarily require its use.

Syllabus coverage

- Knowledge of **all** topics is required for this paper. However, not all topics are necessarily assessed in every examination session.

Mark allocation

- This paper is worth **80** marks, representing **40%** of the final mark.
- Questions of varying levels of difficulty and length are set. Therefore, individual questions may not necessarily each be worth the same number of marks. The exact number of marks allocated to each question is indicated at the start of the question.

Section A

- This section consists of compulsory short-response questions based on the whole syllabus. It is worth approximately 40 marks.
- The intention of this section is to assess students across the breadth of the syllabus. However, it should not be assumed that the separate topics are given equal emphasis.

Question type

- A small number of steps are needed to solve each question.
- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.

Section B

- This section consists of a small number of compulsory extended-response questions based on the whole syllabus. It is worth approximately 40 marks.
- Individual questions may require knowledge of more than one topic.
- The intention of this section is to assess students across the breadth of the syllabus in depth. The range of syllabus topics tested in this section may be narrower than that tested in section A.

Question type

- Questions require extended responses involving sustained reasoning.
- Individual questions will develop a single theme.
- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.
- Normally, each question reflects an incline of difficulty, from relatively easy tasks at the start of a question to relatively difficult tasks at the end of a question. The emphasis is on sustained reasoning.

General

Markschemes are used to assess students in all papers. The markschemes are specific to each examination.

External assessment details—HL

General information

Papers 1, 2 and 3

These papers are externally set and externally marked. Together, they contribute 80% of the final mark for the course. These papers are designed to allow students to demonstrate what they know and what they can do.

Papers 1 and 2 will contain some questions, or parts of questions, which are common with SL.

Calculators

Paper 1

Students are not permitted access to any calculator. Questions will mainly involve analytic approaches to solutions, rather than requiring the use of a GDC. The paper is not intended to require complicated calculations, with the potential for careless errors. However, questions will include some arithmetical manipulations when they are essential to the development of the question.

Paper 2

Students must have access to a GDC at all times. However, not all questions will necessarily require the use of the GDC. Regulations covering the types of GDC allowed are provided in *Diploma Programme Assessment procedures*.

Paper 3

Students must have access to a GDC at all times. However, not all question parts will necessarily require the use of the GDC. Regulations covering the types of GDC allowed are provided in *Diploma Programme Assessment procedures*.

Formula booklet

Each student must have access to a clean copy of the formula booklet during the examination. It is the responsibility of the school to download a copy from IBIS or the Programme Resource Centre and to ensure that there are sufficient copies available for all students.

Awarding of marks

Marks are awarded for method, accuracy, answers and reasoning, including interpretation.

In papers 1, 2 and 3, full marks are not necessarily awarded for a correct answer with no working. Answers must be supported by working and/or explanations (in the form of, for example diagrams, graphs or calculations). Where an answer is incorrect, some marks may be given for correct method, provided that this is shown by written working. All students should therefore be advised to show their working.

Paper 1

Duration: 2 hours

Weighting: 30%

- This paper consists of section A, short-response questions, and section B, extended-response questions.
- Students are not permitted access to any calculator on this paper.

Syllabus coverage

- Knowledge of **all** topics is required for this paper. However, not all topics are necessarily assessed in every examination session.

Mark allocation

- This paper is worth **110** marks, representing **30%** of the final mark.
- Questions of varying levels of difficulty and length are set. Therefore, individual questions may not necessarily each be worth the same number of marks. The exact number of marks allocated to each question is indicated at the start of the question.

Section A

- This section consists of compulsory short-response questions based on the whole syllabus. It is worth approximately 55 marks.
- The intention of this section is to assess students across the breadth of the syllabus. However, it should not be assumed that the separate topics are given equal emphasis.

Question type

- A small number of steps are needed to solve each question.
- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.

Section B

This section consists of a small number of compulsory extended-response questions based on the whole syllabus. It is worth approximately 55 marks.

Individual questions may require knowledge of more than one topic.

The intention of this section is to assess students across the breadth of the syllabus in depth. The range of syllabus topics tested in this section may be narrower than that tested in section A.

Question type

- Questions require extended responses.
- Individual questions will develop a single theme.
- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.
- Normally, each question reflects an incline of difficulty, from relatively easy tasks at the start of a question to relatively difficult tasks at the end of a question. The emphasis is on sustained reasoning.

Paper 2

Duration: 2 hours

Weighting: 30%

- This paper consists of section A, short-response questions, and section B, extended-response questions.
- A GDC is required for this paper, but not every question will necessarily require its use.

Syllabus coverage

- Knowledge of **all** topics is required for this paper. However, not all topics are necessarily assessed in every examination session.

Mark allocation

- This paper is worth **110** marks, representing **30%** of the final mark.
- Questions of varying levels of difficulty and length are set. Therefore, individual questions may not necessarily each be worth the same number of marks. The exact number of marks allocated to each question is indicated at the start of the question.

Section A

- This section consists of compulsory short-response questions based on the whole syllabus. It is worth approximately 55 marks.

- The intention of this section is to assess students across the breadth of the syllabus. However, it should not be assumed that the separate topics are given equal emphasis.

Question type

- A small number of steps are needed to solve each question.
- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.

Section B

- This section consists of a small number of compulsory extended-response questions based on the whole syllabus. It is worth approximately 55 marks.
- Individual questions may require knowledge of more than one topic.
- The intention of this section is to assess students across the breadth of the syllabus in depth. The range of syllabus topics tested in this section may be narrower than that tested in section A.

Question type

- Questions require extended responses.
- Individual questions will develop a single theme.
- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.
- Normally, each question reflects an incline of difficulty, from relatively easy tasks at the start of a question to relatively difficult tasks at the end of a question. The emphasis is on sustained reasoning.

Paper 3

Duration: 1 hour

Weighting: 20%

- This paper consists of two compulsory extended-response problem-solving questions.
- A GDC is required for this paper, but not every question part will necessarily require its use.

Syllabus coverage

- Where possible, the first part of each question will be on syllabus content leading to the problem-solving context. Therefore, knowledge of all syllabus topics is required for this paper.

Mark allocation

- This paper is worth **55** marks, representing **20%** of the final mark.
- Questions may be unequal in terms of length and level of difficulty. Therefore, each question may not be worth the same number of marks. The exact number of marks allocated to each question is indicated at the start of each question.

Question type

- Questions require extended responses involving sustained reasoning.
- Individual questions will develop from a single theme where the emphasis is on problem solving leading to a generalization or the interpretation of a context.
- Questions may be presented in the form of words, symbols, diagrams or tables, or combinations of these.
- Normally, each question reflects an incline in difficulty, from relatively easy at the start of a question to relatively difficult tasks at the end of the question. The emphasis is on problem solving.

Internal assessment

Purpose of internal assessment

Internal assessment is an integral part of the course and is compulsory for both SL and HL students. It enables students to demonstrate the application of their skills and knowledge and to pursue their personal interests without the time limitations and other constraints that are associated with written examinations. The internal assessment should, as far as possible, be woven into normal classroom teaching and not be a separate activity conducted after a course has been taught.

The internal assessment requirements at SL and at HL is an individual exploration. This is a piece of written work that involves investigating an area of mathematics. It is marked according to five assessment criteria.

Guidance and authenticity

The exploration submitted for internal assessment must be the student's own work. However, it is not the intention that students should decide upon a title or topic and be left to work on the internal assessment component without any further support from the teacher. The teacher should play an important role during both the planning stage and the period when the student is working on the exploration.

It is the responsibility of the teacher to ensure that students are familiar with:

- the requirements of the type of work to be internally assessed
- the IB academic honesty policy available on the programme resource centre
- the assessment criteria; students must understand that the work submitted for assessment must address these criteria effectively.

Teachers and students must discuss the exploration. Students should be encouraged to initiate discussions with the teacher to obtain advice and information, and students must not be penalized for seeking guidance. As part of the learning process, teachers should read and give advice to students on one draft of the work. The teacher should provide oral or written advice on how the work could be improved, but not edit the draft. The next version handed to the teacher must be the final version for submission.

It is the responsibility of teachers to ensure that all students understand the basic meaning and significance of concepts that relate to academic honesty, especially authenticity and intellectual property. Teachers must ensure that all student work for assessment is prepared according to requirements and must explain clearly to students that the internally assessed work must be entirely their own.

All work submitted to the IB for moderation or assessment must be authenticated by a teacher, and must not include any known instances of suspected or confirmed malpractice. Each student must confirm that the work is his or her authentic work and constitutes the final version of that work. Once a student has officially submitted the final version of the work it cannot be retracted. The requirement to confirm the authenticity of work applies to the work of all students, not just the sample work that will be submitted to the IB for the purpose of moderation. For further details refer to the IB

publications *Academic honesty in the IB educational context, The Diploma Programme: From principles into practice* and the relevant articles in *General regulations: Diploma Programme*.

Authenticity may be checked by discussion with the student on the content of the work, and scrutiny of one or more of the following:

- the student's initial proposal
- the draft of the written work
- the references cited
- the style of writing compared with work known to be that of the student
- the analysis of the work by a web-based plagiarism detection service such as www.turnitin.com.

The same piece of work cannot be submitted to meet the requirements of both the internal assessment and the extended essay.

Collaboration and teamwork

Collaboration and teamwork are a key focus of the approaches to teaching in the DP. It is advisable that the teacher uses the available class time to manage student collaboration. While working on their exploration students should be encouraged to work collaboratively in the various phases of the process, for example:

- generating ideas
- selecting the topic for their exploration
- sharing research sources
- acquiring the necessary knowledge, skills and understanding
- seeking peer feedback on their writing.

The approaches to teaching and learning (ATL) website on the programme resource centre provides an excellent source for developing collaborative skills in students.

While students should be encouraged to talk through their ideas with others, it is not appropriate to work together on a single exploration. It is important that students demonstrate how they

incorporated sources and collaborative ideas into their work and that they always show their understanding and engagement in the work as described in the assessment criteria. Marks are awarded for the student's development and contribution to their exploration, not for work found in literature or carried out by others either individually or collaboratively.

It is imperative that students understand that the writing and calculations they do in their work must always be their own. This means that the argument they make and the ideas they rely on to make it, should either be their own or they should give credit to the source of those ideas. Any sources must be cited accordingly. This includes pictures, diagrams, graphs, formulae, etc.

In the specific cases of collecting information, data or measurements it is imperative that each student collects their own data, even in a case where collection of measurements is made from a group experiment. Group data or measurements can be combined to provide enough information for individual analysis and this should be clearly described in the written exploration.

Time allocation

Internal assessment is an integral part of the mathematics courses, contributing 20% to the final assessment in the SL and the HL courses. This weighting should be reflected in the time that is allocated to teaching the knowledge, skills and understanding required to undertake the work, as well as the total time allocated to carry out the work.

It is recommended that a total of approximately 10-15 hours of teaching time should be allocated to the work. This should include:

- time for the teacher to explain to students the requirements of the exploration
- class time for students to work on the exploration and ask questions
- time for consultation between the teacher and each student
- time to review and monitor progress, and to check authenticity.

Requirements and recommendations

Students can choose from a wide variety of activities: for example, modelling, investigations and applications of mathematics. To assist teachers and students in the choice of a topic, a list of stimuli is available in the teacher support material. However, students are not restricted to this list.

The exploration should be approximately 12-20 pages long with double line spacing, including diagrams and graphs, but excluding the bibliography. However, it is the quality of the mathematical writing that is important, not the length.

The teacher is expected to give appropriate guidance at all stages of the exploration by, for example, directing students into more productive routes of inquiry, making suggestions for suitable sources of information, and providing advice on the content and clarity of the exploration in the writing-up stage.

Teachers are responsible for indicating to students the existence of errors but should not explicitly correct these errors. It must be emphasized that students are expected to consult the teacher throughout the process.

All students should be familiar with the requirements of the exploration and the criteria by which it is assessed. Students need to start planning their explorations as early as possible in the course. Deadlines should be firmly established and adhered to. There should be a date for submission of the exploration topic and a brief outline description, a date for the submission of the draft and, of course, a date for completion.

In developing their explorations, students should aim to make use of mathematics learned as part of the course. The mathematics used should be commensurate with the level of the course—that is, it should be similar to that suggested in the syllabus. It is not expected that students produce work that is outside the syllabus—however, this will not be penalized.

Ethical guidelines should be adhered to throughout the planning and conducting of the exploration. Further details are given in the *Ethical practice in the Diploma Programme* poster on the programme resource centre.

Presentation

The following details should be stated on the cover page of the exploration:

- title of the exploration
- number of pages.

The references are not assessed. However, if they are not included in the final report it may be flagged in terms of academic honesty.

Using assessment criteria for internal assessment

For internal assessment, a number of assessment criteria have been identified. Each assessment criterion has level descriptors describing specific achievement levels, together with an appropriate range of marks. The level descriptors concentrate on positive achievement, although for the lower levels failure to achieve may be included in the description.

Teachers must judge the internally assessed work at SL and at HL against the criteria using the level descriptors.

The assessment criteria A to D are the same for both SL and HL. Criterion E “Use of mathematics” is different for SL and HL.

The aim is to find, for each criterion, the descriptor that conveys most accurately the level attained by the student, using the best-fit model. A best-fit approach means that compensation should be made when a piece of work matches different aspects of a criterion at different levels. The mark awarded should be one that most fairly reflects the balance of achievement against the criterion. It is not necessary for every single aspect of a level descriptor to be met for that mark to be awarded.

When assessing a student’s work, teachers should read the level descriptors for each criterion until they reach a descriptor that most appropriately describes the level of the work being assessed. If a piece of work seems to fall between two descriptors, both descriptors should be read again and the one that more appropriately describes the student’s work should be chosen.

Where there are two or more marks available within a level, teachers should award the upper marks if the student’s work demonstrates the qualities described to a great extent; the work may be close to achieving marks in the level above. Teachers should award the lower marks if the student’s work demonstrates the qualities described to a lesser extent; the work may be close to achieving marks in the level below.

Only whole numbers should be recorded; partial marks, (fractions or decimals) are not acceptable.

Teachers should not think in terms of a pass or fail boundary, but should concentrate on identifying the appropriate descriptor for each assessment criterion.

The highest-level descriptors do not imply faultless performance but should be achievable by a student. Teachers should not hesitate to use the extremes if they are appropriate descriptions of the work being assessed.

A student who attains a high achievement level in relation to one criterion will not necessarily attain high achievement levels in relation to the other criteria. Similarly, a student who attains a low achievement level for one criterion will not necessarily attain low achievement levels for the other criteria. Teachers should not assume that the overall assessment of the students will produce any particular distribution of marks.

It is recommended that the assessment criteria be made available to students.

Internal assessment details

Mathematical exploration

Duration: 10 to 15 hours

Weighting: 20%

Introduction

The internally-assessed component in this course is a mathematical exploration. This is a short report written by the student based on a topic chosen by him or her, and it should focus on the mathematics of that particular area. The emphasis is on mathematical communication (including formulae, diagrams, graphs, tables and so on), with his or her own focus, with the teacher providing feedback via, for example, discussion and interview. This will allow the students to develop areas of interest to them without a time constraint as in an examination, and allow all students to experience a feeling of success.

The final report should be approximately 12-20 pages long with double line spacing. It can be either word processed or handwritten. Students should be able to explain all stages of their work in such a way that demonstrates clear understanding. While there is no requirement that students present their

work in class, it should be written in such a way that their peers would be able to follow it fairly easily. The report should include a detailed bibliography, and sources need to be referenced in line with the IB academic honesty policy. Direct quotes must be acknowledged.

The purpose of the exploration

The aims of the Mathematics: analysis and approaches and Mathematics: applications and interpretation courses at both SL and HL are carried through into the objectives that are formally assessed as part of the course, through either written examination papers or the exploration, or both. In addition to testing the objectives of the course, the exploration is intended to provide students with opportunities to increase their understanding of mathematical concepts and processes, and to develop a wider appreciation of mathematics. These are noted in the aims of the course. It is intended that, by doing the exploration, students benefit from the mathematical activities undertaken and find them both stimulating and rewarding. It will enable students to acquire the attributes of the IB learner profile.

The specific purposes of the exploration are to:

- develop students' personal insight into the nature of mathematics and to develop their ability to ask their own questions about mathematics
- provide opportunities for students to complete a piece of mathematical work over an extended period of time
- enable students to experience the satisfaction of applying mathematical processes independently
- provide students with the opportunity to experience for themselves the beauty, power and usefulness of mathematics
- encourage students, where appropriate, to discover, use and appreciate the power of technology as a mathematical tool
- enable students to develop the qualities of patience and persistence, and to reflect on the significance of their work
- provide opportunities for students to show, with confidence, how they have developed mathematically.

Management of the exploration

Work on the exploration should be incorporated into the course so that students are given the opportunity to learn the skills needed. Time in class can therefore be used for general discussion of areas of study, as well as familiarizing students with the criteria. Further details on the development of the exploration are included in the teacher support material.

Internal assessment criteria—SL and HL

The exploration is internally assessed by the teacher and externally moderated by the IB using assessment criteria that relate to the objectives for mathematics.

Each exploration is assessed against the following five criteria. The final mark for each exploration is the sum of the scores for each criterion. The maximum possible final mark is 20.

Students will not receive a grade for their mathematics course if they have not submitted an exploration.

Criterion A	Presentation
Criterion B	Mathematical communication
Criterion C	Personal engagement
Criterion D	Reflection
Criterion E	Use of mathematics

Criterion A: Presentation

Achievement level	Descriptor
0	The exploration does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	The exploration has some coherence or some organization.
2	The exploration has some coherence and shows some organization.
3	The exploration is coherent and well organized.
4	The exploration is coherent, well organized, and concise.

The “presentation” criterion assesses the organization and coherence of the exploration.

A **coherent** exploration is logically developed, easy to follow and meets its aim. This refers to the overall structure or framework, including introduction, body, conclusion and how well the different parts link to each other.

A **well-organized** exploration includes an introduction, describes the aim of the exploration and has a conclusion. Relevant graphs, tables and diagrams should accompany the work in the appropriate place and not be attached as appendices to the document. Appendices should be used to include information on large data sets, additional graphs, diagrams and tables.

A **concise** exploration does not show irrelevant or unnecessary repetitive calculations, graphs or descriptions.

The use of technology is not required but encouraged where appropriate. However, the use of analytic approaches rather than technological ones does not necessarily mean lack of conciseness, and should not be penalized. This does not mean that repetitive calculations are condoned.

Criterion B: Mathematical communication

Achievement level	Descriptor
0	The exploration does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	The exploration contains some relevant mathematical communication which is partially appropriate.
2	The exploration contains some relevant appropriate mathematical communication.
3	The mathematical communication is relevant, appropriate and is mostly consistent.
4	The mathematical communication is relevant, appropriate and consistent throughout.

The “mathematical communication” criterion assesses to what extent the student has:

- used appropriate mathematical language (**notation, symbols, terminology**). Calculator and computer notation is acceptable only if it is software generated. Otherwise it is expected that students use appropriate mathematical notation in their work
- defined **key terms** and variables, where required
- used **multiple forms of mathematical representation**, such as formulae, diagrams, tables, charts, graphs and models, where appropriate
- used a **deductive method** and set out proofs logically where appropriate

Examples of level 1 can include graphs not being labelled, consistent use of computer notation with no other forms of correct mathematical communication.

Level 4 can be achieved by using only one form of mathematical representation as long as this is appropriate to the topic being explored. For level 4, any *minor* errors that do not impair clear communication should not be penalized.

Criterion C: Personal engagement

Achievement level	Descriptor
0	The exploration does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	There is evidence of some personal engagement.
2	There is evidence of significant personal engagement.
3	There is evidence of outstanding personal engagement.

The “personal engagement” criterion assesses the extent to which the student engages with the topic by exploring the mathematics and making it their own. It is not a measure of effort.

Personal engagement may be recognized in different ways. These include thinking independently or creatively, presenting mathematical ideas in their own way, exploring the topic from different perspectives, making and testing predictions. Further (but not exhaustive) examples of personal engagement at different levels are given in the teacher support material (TSM).

There must be evidence of personal engagement demonstrated in the student’s work. It is not sufficient that a teacher comments that a student was highly engaged.

Textbook style explorations or reproduction of readily available mathematics without the candidate’s own perspective are unlikely to achieve the higher levels.

Significant: The student demonstrates authentic personal engagement in the exploration on a few occasions and it is evident that these drive the exploration forward and help the reader to better understand the writer’s intentions.

Outstanding: The student demonstrates authentic personal engagement in the exploration in numerous instances and they are of a high quality. It is evident that these drive the exploration forward in a creative way. It leaves the impression that the student has developed, through their approach, a complete understanding of the context of the exploration topic and the reader better understands the writer’s intentions.

Criterion D: Reflection

Achievement level	Descriptor
0	The exploration does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	There is evidence of limited reflection.
2	There is evidence of meaningful reflection.
3	There is substantial evidence of critical reflection.

The “reflection” criterion assesses how the student reviews, analyses and evaluates the exploration. Although reflection may be seen in the conclusion to the exploration, it may also be found throughout the exploration.

Simply describing results represents **limited reflection**. Further consideration is required to achieve the higher levels.

Some ways of showing **meaningful reflection** are: linking to the aims of the exploration, commenting on what they have learned, considering some limitation or comparing different mathematical approaches.

Critical reflection is reflection that is crucial, deciding or deeply insightful. It will often develop the exploration by addressing the mathematical results and their impact on the student's understanding of the topic. Some ways of showing critical reflection are: considering what next, discussing implications of results, discussing strengths and weaknesses of approaches, and considering different perspectives.

Substantial evidence means that the critical reflection is present throughout the exploration. If it appears at the end of the exploration it must be of high quality and demonstrate how it developed the exploration in order to achieve a level 3.

Further (but not exhaustive) examples of reflection at different levels are given in the teacher support material (TSM).

Criterion E: Use of mathematics—SL

Achievement level	Descriptor
0	The exploration does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Some relevant mathematics is used.
2	Some relevant mathematics is used. Limited understanding is demonstrated.
3	Relevant mathematics commensurate with the level of the course is used. Limited understanding is demonstrated.
4	Relevant mathematics commensurate with the level of the course is used. The mathematics explored is partially correct. Some knowledge and understanding are demonstrated.
5	Relevant mathematics commensurate with the level of the course is used. The mathematics explored is mostly correct. Good knowledge and understanding are demonstrated.
6	Relevant mathematics commensurate with the level of the course is used. The mathematics explored is correct. Thorough knowledge and understanding are demonstrated.

The “Use of mathematics” SL criterion assesses to what extent students use mathematics that is **relevant** to the exploration.

Relevant refers to mathematics that supports the development of the exploration towards the completion of its aim. Overly complicated mathematics where simple mathematics would suffice is not relevant.

Students are expected to produce work that is **commensurate with the level** of the course, which means it should not be completely based on mathematics listed in the prior learning. The mathematics explored should either be part of the syllabus, or at a similar level.

A key word in the descriptor is **demonstrated**. The command term demonstrate means “to make clear by reasoning or evidence, illustrating with examples or practical application”. Obtaining the correct answer is not sufficient to demonstrate understanding (even some understanding) in order to achieve level 2 or higher.

For knowledge and understanding to be **thorough** it must be demonstrated throughout.

The mathematics can be regarded as **correct** even if there are occasional minor errors as long as they do not detract from the flow of the mathematics or lead to an unreasonable outcome.

Students are encouraged to use technology to obtain results where appropriate, but **understanding must be demonstrated** in order for the student to achieve higher than level 1, for example merely substituting values into a formula does not necessarily demonstrate understanding of the results.

The mathematics only needs to be what is required to support the development of the exploration. This could be a few small elements of mathematics or even a single topic (or sub-topic) from the syllabus. It is better to do a few things well than a lot of things not so well. If the mathematics used is relevant to the topic being explored, commensurate with the level of the course and understood by the student, then it can achieve a high level in this criterion.

Criterion E: Use of mathematics—HL

Achievement level	Descriptor
0	The exploration does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Some relevant mathematics is used. Limited understanding is demonstrated.
2	Some relevant mathematics is used. The mathematics explored is partially correct. Some knowledge and understanding is demonstrated.
3	Relevant mathematics commensurate with the level of the course is used. The mathematics explored is correct. Some knowledge and understanding are demonstrated.
4	Relevant mathematics commensurate with the level of the course is used. The mathematics explored is correct. Good knowledge and understanding are demonstrated.
5	Relevant mathematics commensurate with the level of the course is used. The mathematics explored is correct and demonstrates sophistication or rigour. Thorough knowledge and understanding are demonstrated.
6	Relevant mathematics commensurate with the level of the course is used. The mathematics explored is precise and demonstrates sophistication and rigour. Thorough knowledge and understanding are demonstrated.

The “Use of mathematics” HL criterion assesses to what extent students use **relevant** mathematics in the exploration.

Students are expected to produce work that is **commensurate with the level** of the course, which means it should not be completely based on mathematics listed in the prior learning. The mathematics explored should either be part of the syllabus, at a similar level or slightly beyond. However, mathematics of a level slightly beyond the syllabus is **not** required to achieve the highest levels.

A key word in the descriptor is **demonstrated**. The command term demonstrate means to make clear by reasoning or evidence, illustrating with examples or practical application. Obtaining the correct answer is not sufficient to demonstrate understanding (even some understanding) in order to achieve level 2 or higher.

For knowledge and understanding to be **thorough** it must be demonstrated throughout. Lines of reasoning must be shown to justify steps in the mathematical development of the exploration.

Relevant refers to mathematics that supports the development of the exploration towards the completion of its aim. Overly complicated mathematics where simple mathematics would suffice is not relevant.

The mathematics can be regarded as **correct** even if there are occasional minor errors as long as they do not detract from the flow of the mathematics or lead to an unreasonable outcome. **Precise** mathematics is error-free and uses an appropriate level of accuracy at all times.

Sophistication: To be considered as sophisticated the mathematics used should be commensurate with the HL syllabus or, if contained in the SL syllabus, the mathematics has been used in a complex way that is beyond what could reasonably be expected of an SL student. Sophistication in mathematics may include understanding and using challenging mathematical concepts, looking at a problem from different perspectives and seeing underlying structures to link different areas of mathematics.

Rigour involves clarity of logic and language when making mathematical arguments and calculations. Mathematical claims relevant to the development of the exploration must be justified or proven.

Students are encouraged to use technology to obtain results where appropriate, but **understanding must be demonstrated** in order for the student to achieve level 1 or higher, for example merely substituting values into a formula does not necessarily demonstrate understanding of the results.

The mathematics only needs to be what is required to support the development of the exploration. This could be a few small elements of mathematics or even a single topic (or sub-topic) from the syllabus. It is better to do a few things well than a lot of things not so well. If the mathematics used is relevant to the topic being explored, commensurate with the level of the course and understood by the student, then it can achieve a high level in this criterion.

