

# Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2020 - 2021 School Report



Houston County
Thomson Middle School

### 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

### 1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

### Leadership Team

|                 | Position/Role          | Name            |  |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal              | Scott Wynn      |  |
| Team Member # 2 | Assistant Principal    | Dustin Dykes    |  |
| Team Member # 3 | Assistant Principal    | Jami Moore      |  |
| Team Member # 4 | Gifted Lead Teacher    | Leshan Ferguson |  |
| Team Member # 5 | 6th Grade Math Teacher | Amanda Coggins  |  |
| Team Member # 6 | ELA Department         | Patricia Bolden |  |
| Team Member # 7 | Intervention Teacher   | Stacey Kincer   |  |

### Additional Leadership Team

|                  | Position/Role                       | Name             |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Team Member # 1  | Counselor                           | Alicia Brooks    |
| Team Member # 2  | 8th Grade Teacher Math              | Latasha Clay     |
| Team Member # 3  | 7th Grade Teacher Social Studies    | Bridget Hunt     |
| Team Member # 4  | 8th Grade Teacher Special Education | Myra English     |
| Team Member # 5  | PBIS Coach                          | Erica Fleming    |
| Team Member # 6  | CTAE Chair                          | Jessica Green    |
| Team Member # 7  | Athletic Director                   | Mark Ivory       |
| Team Member # 8  | 6th Grade Teacher Social Studies    | Alissa Baker     |
| Team Member # 9  | Media Specialist                    | Mike Jackson     |
| Team Member # 10 | 8th Grade Teacher Social Studies    | Rebecca Albrecht |

### 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

### 1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <a href="Planning and Preparation webinar">Planning and Preparation webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

### Stakeholders

|                 | Position/Role                  | Name           |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | School Council President       | Tracy Evans    |
| Stakeholder # 2 | School Council Member          | Katrina Bowers |
| Stakeholder # 3 | School Council Member/Business | Jon Nichols    |
|                 | Partner                        |                |
| Stakeholder # 4 | Starbase 2.0                   | Wesley Fondal  |
| Stakeholder # 5 |                                |                |
| Stakeholder # 6 |                                |                |
| Stakeholder # 7 |                                |                |
| Stakeholder # 8 |                                |                |

| How will the team ensure that stakeholders, | The team will ensure all stakeholders are surveyed on an ongoing basis after |
|---|--|
| and in particular parents and/or guardians, | every family engagement event and annually through school climate surveys.   |
| were able to provide meaningful input into  |  |
| the needs assessment process?               |  |

### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Coherent Instructional System webinar for additional information and guidance.

|                | <b>Curriculum Standard 1</b> -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction |   |  |
|----------------|--|---|--|
|                |  |   |  |
| 1. Exemplary   | A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.   |   |  |
|                | Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school  |   |  |
|                | have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.   |   |  |
| 2. Operational | A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.   | ✓ |  |
|                | Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.   |   |  |
| 3. Emerging    | A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.  |   |  |
|                | Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.   |   |  |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.  |   |  |
|                | Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.   |   |  |

| <b>Curriculum Standard 2</b> -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the requirestandards |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.  These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. |          |
| 2. Operational  | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.  These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.   |          |

| Instruction Standard 1 - Provides a supportive and well - managed environment conducive to learning |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.      |   |
|   | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.                      |   |
| 2. Operational  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.         | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. |   |

| Instruction Standard 2 - Creates an academically challenging learning environment |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. |          |
| 2. Operational  | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |

| <b>Instruction Standard 3</b> -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curric standards |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  | <b>√</b> |
|   | Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.  Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels                        |          |
| 2. Operational  | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.   |          |

| Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). |          |
| 2. Operational  | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).                          | <b>✓</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |

| Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students |   |          |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).  Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.  |          |

| Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning |   |          |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). |          |
| 2. Operational   | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).                                   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |

| <b>Instruction Standard 7</b> -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide    |   |
|  | students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.              |   |
|  | Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual        |   |
|  | students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets.            |   |
| 2. Operational   | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students | ✓ |
|  | with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.                       |   |
| 3. Emerging  | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students |   |
|  | with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.                               |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide  |   |
|  | students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not    |   |
|  | specific, timely, or understandable.  |   |

| <b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational  | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |          |

| <b>Instruction Standard 9</b> -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student.  The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made. |   |
| 2. Operational   | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.   |   |

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.   | ✓ |
|  | Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment.         |   |
| 2. Operational   | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.         |   |
| 3. Emerging  | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. |   |

| Assessment Standard 3    | Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform  |   |  |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| instruction, and improve | instruction, and improve teacher practices  |   |  |
| 1. Exemplary             | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes.                                | ✓ |  |
|                          | The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices.   |   |  |
| 2. Operational           | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.   |   |  |
| 3. Emerging              | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | _ |  |
| 4. Not Evident           | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.   |   |  |

| Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results.  Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational  | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results.  Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results.  Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist.  Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.   |          |

| <b>Assessment Standard 5</b> -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards |   | ı the |
|--|---|-------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. |       |
| 2. Operational   | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.                         | ✓     |
| 3. Emerging  | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.                         |       |
| 4. Not Evident   | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.   |       |

### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Effective Leadership webinar for additional information and guidance.

| <b>Leadership Standard 1</b> -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, |          |
| 2. Operational  | and community stakeholders.  Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of  | <b>√</b> |
|   | students and staff.   |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  |          |

| Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of         |          |
|   | urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision.   |          |
| 2. Operational  | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results. | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.  |          |

|                | <b>Leadership Standard 3</b> -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices   |          |  |
|----------------|---|----------|--|
| 1. Exemplary   | The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.  The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning. |          |  |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.  | <b>~</b> |  |
| 3. Emerging    | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.   |          |  |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.   |          |  |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.  |   |

| <b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. |          |
|   | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.  |          |
| 2. Operational  | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.  |          |

| <b>Leadership Standard 6</b> -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning |  | learning |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.  The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan. |          |
| 2. Operational  | A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.  The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.            | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.  |          |

| Leadership Standard 7 | -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data s   | sources  |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary          | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.  A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.  Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or | <b>√</b> |
|                       | both.   |          |
| 2. Operational        | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.  Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.  |          |
| 3. Emerging           | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.  Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident        | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.  Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.  |          |

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is    | ✓ |
|   | provided to teachers and other staff.  |   |
| 2. Operational  | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs.   |   |
| 3. Emerging   | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs. |   |

|                | <b>Planning and Organization Standard 1</b> -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process   |          |  |
|----------------|---|----------|--|
| 1. Exemplary   | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.  The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.  The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained | <b>√</b> |  |
| 2. Operational | commitment to continuous improvement.  A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.   |          |  |
| 3. Emerging    | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.  A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.                                |          |  |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.   |          |  |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a |   | lement a |
|--|---|----------|
|  | n that is focused on student performance  |          |
| 1. Exemplary   | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.  | <b>√</b> |
|  | The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.   |          |
|  | This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.  |          |
| 2. Operational   | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.  The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance. |          |
| 3. Emerging  | A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.  The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.  |          |

| <b>Planning and Organization Standard 3</b> -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjute as needed |   | adjustments |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.  Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data. |             |
| 2. Operational   | he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.  Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.                                   | <b>√</b>    |
| 3. Emerging  | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.   |             |
| 4. Not Evident   | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.   |             |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 - Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement |   |          |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to | <b>√</b> |
|  | support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.                                   |          |
|  | School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time,       |          |
|  | materials, and equipment.   |          |
| 2. Operational   | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to |          |
|  | support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.                                     |          |
| 3. Emerging  | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently          |          |
|  | monitored.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever,        |          |
|  | monitored.  |          |

| <b>Planning and Organization Standard 5</b> -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational  | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.                                  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.  In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.   |          |

|                | <b>Planning and Organization Standard 6</b> -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment   |          |
|----------------|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.  A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.  The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.  |          |
| 3. Emerging    | Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.  The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident | Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.  The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.   |          |

### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <u>Professional Capacity webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| <b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. |   |
|   | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.  |   |
| 2. Operational  | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and  | ✓ |
|   | problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  |   |
| 3. Emerging   | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or   |   |
|   | problem-solving.   |   |

| Professional Learning S | Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of da  |   |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary            | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).  Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational          | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).   |   |
| 3. Emerging             | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident          | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.   |   |

| <b>Professional Learning Standard 2</b> -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance |  | nce      |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. |          |
| 2. Operational  | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.  |          |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.   |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.  |          |

| <b>Professional Learning Standard 4</b> -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning need staff |  | eeds of the |
|---|--|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).  Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. | <b>√</b>    |
| 2. Operational  | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.  Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.          |             |
| 3. Emerging   | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.   |             |
| 4. Not Evident  | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.   |             |

| <b>Professional Learning Standard 5</b> -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective prlearning |   | rofessional |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.  Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | <b>√</b>    |
| 2. Operational   | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.  |             |
| 3. Emerging  | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.  |             |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.   |             |

| <b>Professional Learning Standard 6</b> -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and studie learning |   | and student |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively.  Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning. |             |
| 2. Operational   | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely.   | ✓           |
| 3. Emerging  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically.   |             |
| 4. Not Evident   | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever.  | _           |

### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Family and Community Engagement webinar">Family Community Engagement webinar</a> for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's <a href="KIDS COUNT">KIDS COUNT</a> for additional data.

Family and Community Engagement Data

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 1</b> -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects far and community members to the school |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational  | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  |   |
| 3. Emerging   | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.   |   |

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 2</b> -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.  Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational  | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and   |          |
| opermional  | stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.   |          |

# Family and Community Engagement Data

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 3</b> -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.  Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational  | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.   |          |

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 4</b> -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievem status to families |  | chievement |
|---|--|------------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).   |            |
|   | Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).   |            |
| 2. Operational  | The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.  Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | <b>√</b>   |
| 3. Emerging   | The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.  Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.  |            |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.  Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.  |            |

# Family and Community Engagement Data

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 5</b> -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at h will enhance academic achievement |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. |          |
| 2. Operational  | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  |          |

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 6</b> -Connects families with agencies and resources in the communithe needs of students |  | nity to meet |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | <b>√</b>     |
| 2. Operational   | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.                        |              |
| 3. Emerging  | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.   |              |
| 4. Not Evident   | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.   |              |

### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the Supportive Learning Environment webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.      |   |
|  | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.                      |   |
| 2. Operational   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.         | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. |   |

| Instruction Standard 2 - Creates an academically challenging learning environment |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. |          |
| 2. Operational  | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |

| <b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | lary Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor |   |
| their own progress.   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
| Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by  |   |   |
| engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.  |   |   |
| 2. Operational  | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their      | ✓ |
|   | own progress.   |   |
| 3. Emerging   | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.                               |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.                       |   |

| <b>School Culture Standard 1</b> -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment  |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school.  These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. |  |   |
| 2. Operational  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.              | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.           |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated. |   |

| <b>School Culture Standard 2</b> -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. |          |
| 2. Operational  | Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.           | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.   |          |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students  |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.  The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to |  |          |
|  | prepare students for success.  |          |
| 2. Operational   | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.  |  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.  | _        |

| School Culture Stand  | dard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students   |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. |   |
| 2. Operational  | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.  | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   |  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.   |   |
| School Culture Stand  | dard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff   |   |
| 1. Exemplary  | The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.  |   |
|   | The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.  |   |
| 2. Operational The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. |  | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff.   |   |
|   |  |   |

| <b>Planning and Organization Standard 1</b> -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.  The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. |   |
|   | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.  |   |
| 2. Operational  | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.  The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous                          | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | improvement process.  A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.                                     |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.   |   |

### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

What perception data did you use?
[examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]

School Climate survey data from staff, parents, and students Title I and Title II parent survey Staff PLC Survey Staff input with principal

What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")

The staff believes that our PLCs are working well! Most PLCs meet at least once a week for more than thirty minutes. We need to continue to make efforts to support our "singleton" teachers who do not have someone in the building to meet with that is teaching the same content. This year we have provided substitute teachers for those singletons three times so that they can meet with other teachers in the system teaching the same content. We will continue to work as a guiding coalition to refine our discipline progression. We will also lean on our PBIS team to develop ideas to support all staff and students. We will be in our third full year of PBIS implementation and hope this initiative the produces fewer office referrals and improved social behavior. Most parents are satisfied with the education provided at TMS. However, many note that they would appreciate additional support with homework, tutoring, and study skills. Math was the subject that most parents requested support with. Some also noted that the school should work on communication and bullying.

What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)

Guiding Coalition agendas PLC notes Common assessment data

MAP Data TKES data

| What does the process data tell you?         |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| (process data describes the way programs are |  |  |
| conducted; provides evidence of participant  |  |  |
| involvement in programs; answers the         |  |  |
| question "What did you do for whom?")        |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Our PLCs have continued to grow as the vision has become more clear. This year all staff had a professional learning goal of strengthening their PLC. We focused our professional learning on making sure teachers understood that they needed to create valid and reliable common assessments, review student work, and plan instruction according to the student learning data. Administrators attended assigned PLC meetings and teachers provided notes to all three administrators for review and feedback weekly.

| What achievement data did you use? | MAP data               |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                    | Common Assessment data |

# What does your achievement data tell you? In 2019, we were excited that we were able to offer more rigorous courses to that group of 8th grade students. All 8th grade students who were in our system in 2018 took a high school World Geography course. In 2019 many more of those students also took the high school physical science and algebra courses than in previous years. Our EOC results attached to the high school physical science and algebra courses were outstanding in 2019. However since several more took this more rigorous course and EOC it created a negative impact on our 8th grade math and science EOG. We also noticed a slight decrease in our 8th grade English Language Arts and Social studies data. Our biggest area of concern was with our seventh grade math EOG achievement scores. This is an area we targeted for improvement this year and according to our Spring MAP Growth Summary Report students observed growth met their

| What demographic data did you use? | MAP data                         |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                    | SWIS data                        |
|                                    | Infinite Campus demographic data |

projected growth.

### What does the demographic data tell you? 2018 CCRPI data shows that all student groups and subgroups met their improvement targets in social studies, Only white students did not meet the target in Science. Four groups did not meet their performance targets in math including all, black, multi-racial, and white students. The area that had the least subgroups meet their target was ELA with all, Asian/pacific islander, multi-racial, white, and students with disabilities not meeting the target. In 2019, most of our subgroups met improvement targets in Language Arts with the exception of black and Hispanic students. ED, EL, and SWD students had a 6% or greater increase in Language Arts for content mastery. We were slightly less successful in math test scores. While Asian, multi-racial, white, and EL students met improvement targets, all, black, Hispanic, ED, and SWD students did not. We have the greatest opportunity for improvement in science and social studies in that only Hispanic and white students met targets in science and only white students in social studies. Historically more than 60% of Thomson Middle School students have received free and reduced priced lunches. This has resulted in Thomson Middle School

| becoming part of the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP), which is a         |
|--|
| non-pricing meal service option for schools and school districts in low-income |
| areas. This program provides free breakfast and lunch for all students and     |
| ensures that the basic need of having quality nutritional meals is met.        |

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

### 3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Coherent Instructional:Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Overall we are functioning at an operational level. We found that our strength was in effective collaboration within our PLCs focusing on student learning. Most PLCs meet at least weekly for more than thirty minutes. In most classrooms teachers utilize an instructional framework that allows time for direct instruction, students working in flexible groups, and summarization strategies for each lesson. We also utilize our academic opportunity time (Tiger Time) in an attempt to provide support for students in need. Teams of teachers work to decide where each student needs to receive support based on recent assessments. We focus on essential standards that have been identified by each PLC during this time. Our guiding coalition serves as our professional learning advisory committee and helps identify areas where teachers can benefit from professional learning throughout the year.

Effective Leadership:Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The school is operating with effective leadership. A common mission and vision statement has been developed and established through a collaborative process. The teachers, administrators, and staff have built and sustain relationships with students, staff, and stakeholders that identifies the needs of teachers, students, and leaders to encourage success within the building. The administrators stress and model the need for a strong collaborative process and purpose which guides the students and staff effectively. School leadership works to provide opportunities for shared leadership and decision making based on the diverse streams of data and individual needs. Resources are provided based on this data to ensure success. The staff uses the TKES platform to establish professional learning goals and work in professional learning communities to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, instruction, and professional learning practices.

### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Professional Capacity:Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

PLCs and Professional Learning continued to provide valuable opportunities for collaborative efforts in developing quality common formative assessments (CFAs). Data from these CFAs allowed the teachers to be responsive to individual students needs and allowed administration to provide necessary supports by starting a Reading Connections support class. The addition of a reading specialist resulted in 60% of the sixth and seventh grade student population reading above grade level. Additional PL allowed for staff to develop a deeper understanding of the impact of poverty and trauma on our student population through an interactive poverty simulation as well as Trauma 101 training. To improve school culture and climate, Positive Behavioral Intervention Strategies (PBIS) was implemented. PBIS has been well received and improvements continue to take place. One identified need that stood out from parent feedback was the request to support students in using technology. Thomson plans to use Title I money to purchase additional laptops to increase student access and support digital learning. Thomson will also add a REP math teacher this year to support students in need.

### Family and Community

Engagement:Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The team observes an intentionally created environment in which families, community members, and stakeholders are welcomed and connected through clear and open communication. Thomson Middle School continually develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. Our parent events encourage and support relationships among all stakeholders. Thomson Middle School has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and community resources (e.g., after school programs, remediation, health and counseling services, community service agencies, and civic organizations) to meet all student needs. Limited relationships and decision-making processes, while initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement, still pose a struggle as many parents are unable to participate due to an array of conflicts.

### **Supportive Learning**

Environment:Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Students are supported at TMS in many ways. One new way we were able to support all students this year is through our teachers use of Google Classroom. This is a platform that each teacher uses to provide instruction, assign work, provide feedback, and send information to parents about what is going on in the classroom. We want to continue to support growth for our staff and students in the area of using technology. All students also benefit with social interaction and behavior from our PBIS initiative. Students who are not successful at the Tier 1 level move into our RTI process where we provide additional support for academics and behavior. Supports may be implemented in various ways including additional practice or reteaching during Tiger Time, support with reading in a reading connections class, and behavior management through a check in check out system. Some students also receive support through an Individualized Education Plan or 504 plan. These students may be served in regular education, inclusion, or resource class and receive

### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

accommodations to support their learning.

Demographic and Financial:Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Demographic and financial trends at Thomson Middle School are continually changing. A large percentage of our student body fall into the categories of Students With Disabilities and Economically Disadvantaged (ED). We have a large African American student population, with growing Hispanic and Asian demographics. This year, we added a significant number of English Language Learners. The increased number of ED students has resulted in TMS becoming part of the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP), which is a non-pricing meal service option for schools in low-income areas.

Student Achievement:Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

In 2018, most groups made progress. However, there is a concern with multi-racial and white subgroups. When reviewing "student exceeds" scores over the past 4 years, positive trends were recognized for all tested areas in 7thand 8thgrade, but significant decreases in math and ELA areas in 6thgrade. As students advance across grade levels, the percent of students reading on grade level increases. There is a significant gap between students reading on grade level and student performance on ELA. Students showed greater strength in math than in ELA. There is a need to improve reading achievement at all grade levels, but especially at the 6thgrade level.

In 2019, Asian, multi-racial, white, and EL students met improvement targets in all content areas. In Language Arts only Hispanic students did not make progress. Our biggest subgroup concerns are with all, black, ED, and SWD students not meeting improvement targets.

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Strengths | Special Education  |
|-----------|--|
|           | Student assistance is given during lunch and Tiger Time to assist with missing   |
|           | assignments and additional tutoring support. Failure is not an option if you     |
|           | try. Students and parents take part in the ASPIRE program quarterly              |
|           | completing surveys that monitor their progress in the special education and      |
|           | feedback about our program. The survey asks questions about their disabilities,  |
|           | goals, and services. Students also receive progress monitoring reports on goals  |
|           | that are monitored biweekly from their IEP. These reports are sent home with     |
|           | every progress report and report card. Many students participate in their yearly |

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

IEP review by speaking or leading the meeting which allows involvement and a voice that speaks to their disability. Co-teach classes are offered whenever possible in support of the inclusion model with shared lessons and instructional support. The

Economically Disadvantage Children

More than 60% of Thomson's students economically disadvantaged. In an effort to support these students we provide teachers with appropriate professional learning relating to poverty and trauma. We also provide students with materials that they need to be successful. Economically disadvantaged students also benefit from additional instructional resources such as Brainpop, USA Testprep, Writescore, MAP Skills, REP courses, reading intervention connections, and PBIS. We will continue to investigate software such as istation. iReady, Edgenuity, IXL, Moby Max, and Freckle to see if these programs would be beneficial as interventions for these students. English Learners

Thomson's identified English Learners have only been served at the "monitored" level over the past couple of years. However, the EL program will be returning to Thomson in full force for the 2019-2020 school year. A support teach will oversee the program and we will provide support as needed through small group and inclusion classes.

Challenges

The biggest challenge in Special Education is the growing number of students in need of support. For the 2020-2021 school year more than 18% of Thomson's students will have an Individual Education Plan. We need to specifically address language arts achievement for these students based on our CCRPI data. We are very proud of our economically disadvantaged students CCRPI achievement data in that ED students meet targets in all content areas in 2018 and had more than a 6% increase in Language Arts in 2019. The challenge with EL data is that we have had too few students to have a sub pop in the last couple of years. Though this changed in 2019-2020 we do not have CCRPI data to establish a baseline.

#### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

## 3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

#### Overarching Need # 1

| Overarching Need               | An improvement in student performance in all content areas of the Georgia Milestones |
|--------------------------------|--|
|                                | Assessment System and in academic courses.   |
| How severe is the need?        | High   |
| Is the need trending better or | Worse  |
| worse over time?               |  |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes  |
| Priority Order                 | 1  |

| Additional Considerations | The school's CCRPI score dropped 5 points from 2018 to 2019. |
|---------------------------|--|
|                           |  |

#### Overarching Need # 2

| Overarching Need               | Improve student discipline data. |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| How severe is the need?        | High                             |
| Is the need trending better or | Worse                            |
| worse over time?               |                                  |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes                              |
| Priority Order                 | 2                                |

| Additional Considerations | Students not being in the building for the last nine weeks of school due to the Covid-19 |
|---------------------------|--|
|                           | pandemic may skew data.  |

### Overarching Need # 3

| Overarching Need               | Improve opportunities for stakeholder engagement. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| How severe is the need?        | High  |
| Is the need trending better or | Better  |
| worse over time?               |   |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes   |
| Priority Order                 | 3   |

| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

### Overarching Need # 4

| Overarching Need               | Provide quality professional development and opportunities for growth among staff |
|--------------------------------|---|
|                                | members   |
| How severe is the need?        | High  |
| Is the need trending better or | Better  |
| worse over time?               |   |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes   |
| Priority Order                 | 4   |

| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

#### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - An improvement in student performance in all content areas of the Georgia Milestones Assessment System and in academic courses.

#### Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed     | Response to Intervention through professional learning communities.                        |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a  | Yes  |
| contributing cause or symptom   |  |
| This is something we can affect | Yes  |
| Impacted Programs               | IDEA - Special Education   |
|                                 | School and District Effectiveness  |
|                                 | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged                         |
|                                 | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program  |
|                                 | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program                                     |
|                                 | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children  |
|                                 | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children                            |
|                                 | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
|                                 | other School Leaders   |
|                                 | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students               |
|                                 | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program        |
|                                 | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment                                 |

| Additional Responses | Teachers should be able to have substitutes for release days with funding for substitutes as |
|----------------------|--|
| 1                    | needed.  |
|                      | Staff should have access to programs or software such as Writescore, Map Skills, Brainpop,   |
|                      | USA Testprep, iReady, Edunuity, Moby Max, Freckle, IXL, vocabulary.com, and istation as      |
|                      | needed for identification of students in need of support as well as intervention.            |
|                      | Staff should be able to attend conferences that support our schools goals and actions.       |
|                      | Teachers should be provided with instructional needs for use during intervention time.       |
|                      | Books, magazines, digital resources, and LLI kits and resources should be provided to        |
|                      | support literacy across all content areas.   |
|                      | STEM education should continue to be supported as a top school initiative.                   |

### Overarching Need - Improve student discipline data.

#### Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed     | More emphasis on PBIS and PBIS rewards to reduce inappropriate behavior.                   |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a  | Yes  |
| contributing cause or symptom   |  |
| This is something we can affect | Yes  |
| Impacted Programs               | IDEA - Special Education   |
|                                 | School and District Effectiveness  |
|                                 | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged                         |
|                                 | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program  |
|                                 | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program                                     |
|                                 | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children  |
|                                 | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children                            |
|                                 | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
|                                 | other School Leaders   |
|                                 | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students               |
|                                 | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program        |
|                                 | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment                                 |
|                                 | Others:  |

| Additional Responses | The PBIS team will continue to work on implementation during our third year of this        |
|----------------------|--|
|                      | initiative. Support needed for this initiative may include software for monitoring student |
|                      | behavior, consumable materials such as paper, ink, and poster printer paper, and tangible  |
|                      | rewards for students meeting expectations.   |

### Overarching Need - Improve opportunities for stakeholder engagement.

#### Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed     | Gain staff, student, parent, and community support in the decision making process for our school. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a  | Yes   |
| contributing cause or symptom   |   |
| This is something we can affect | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs               | IDEA - Special Education  |
|                                 | School and District Effectiveness   |
|                                 | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged                                |
|                                 | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program   |
|                                 | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program  |

#### Root Cause # 1

| Impacted Programs | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children  |
|-------------------|--|
|                   | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children                            |
|                   | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
|                   | other School Leaders   |
|                   | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students               |
|                   | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program        |
|                   | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment                                 |
|                   | Others:  |

|  | Additional Responses | All stakeholders should have the opportunity to be further engaged in student learning. |
|--|----------------------|---|
|--|----------------------|---|

## Overarching Need - Provide quality professional development and opportunities for growth among staff members

#### Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed     | Provide learning opportunities for staff to improve student learning.                      |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a  | Yes  |
| contributing cause or symptom   |  |
| This is something we can affect | Yes  |
| Impacted Programs               | IDEA - Special Education   |
|                                 | School and District Effectiveness  |
|                                 | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged                         |
|                                 | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program  |
|                                 | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program                                     |
|                                 | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children  |
|                                 | Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children                            |
|                                 | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
|                                 | other School Leaders   |
|                                 | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students               |
|                                 | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program        |
|                                 | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment                                 |
|                                 | Others:  |

| Additional Responses | Teachers should have the opportunity to be provided with quality professional learning. |
|----------------------|---|
|                      | This should be job-embedded as well as through appropriate conferences and workshops    |
|                      | throughout the year.  |



# School Improvement Plan 2020 - 2021



Houston County
Thomson Middle School

#### **SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

## 1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| District    | Houston County        |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| School Name | Thomson Middle School |
| Team Lead   | Scott Wynn            |

| Fed   | Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply) |  |
|---|---|--|
| <b>√</b>  | ✓ Traditional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately)                             |  |
| Consolidated funds (state/local and federal funds consolidated) - Cohort systems ONLY |   |  |
| 'FUND 400' - Consolidation of Federal funds only                                      |   |  |

| Fact     | Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) |  |
|----------|---|--|
| ✓        | ✓ Free/Reduced meal application   |  |
| <b>√</b> | ✓ Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY                   |  |
|          | Other (if selected, please describe below)  |  |

#### 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.1 OverarchingNeed # 1

### Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | An improvement in student performance in all content areas of the Georgia Milestones   |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2                   | Assessment System and in academic courses.   |
| Root Cause # 1                    | Response to Intervention through professional learning communities.                    |
| Goal                              | Provide high quality instruction resulting in a 2% increase in student promotion rate. |

| Action Step                      | Use Tiger Time to support all students' academic needs through enhancement, and/or |
|----------------------------------|--|
|                                  | remediation aligned to state standards in all content areas.                       |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A  |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG  |
|                                  | Title I, Part C  |
|                                  | Title I, Part D  |
|                                  | Title II, Part A   |
|                                  | Title III, Part A  |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A   |
|                                  | Title V, Part B  |
|                                  | IDEA   |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento   |
|                                  | Perkins  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                                  | Foster   |
|                                  | Homeless   |
|                                  | English Learners   |
|                                  | Migrant  |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Systems                          | Coherent Instruction   |
|                                  | Professional Capacity  |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring            | Review common formative assessment data.   |
| Implementation and Effectiveness |  |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Administration and PLCs  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Weekly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Create standards-based assessments during PLC's with an emphasis on higher order   |
|----------------------------------|--|
|                                  | thinking skills and depth of knowledge alignment.                                  |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A  |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG  |
|                                  | Title I, Part C  |
|                                  | Title I, Part D  |
|                                  | Title II, Part A   |
|                                  | Title III, Part A  |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A   |
|                                  | Title V, Part B  |
|                                  | IDEA   |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento   |
|                                  | Perkins  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                                  | Foster   |
|                                  | Homeless   |
|                                  | English Learners   |
|                                  | Migrant  |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Systems                          | Coherent Instruction   |
|                                  | Professional Capacity  |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring            | Observation of implementation of assessment systems which will include Writescore, |
| Implementation and Effectiveness |  |
|                                  | Feedback from teachers with grading support through software such as zipgrade or   |
|                                  | hardware such as apperson machines and appropriate scantrons.                      |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Guiding Coalition  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Quarterly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Increase student achievement with all students and support measures to increase               |
|----------------------------------|---|
|                                  | achievement with our subpopulations of student by increasing rigor and opportunities for      |
|                                  | success.  |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A   |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                                  | Foster  |
|                                  | Homeless  |
|                                  | English Learners  |
|                                  | Migrant   |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities   |
|                                  | N/A   |
| Systems                          | Coherent Instruction  |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Method for Monitoring            | Reading connections teacher will monitor comprehension and fluency through GRASP              |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | probes. She will also utilize the Leveled Literacy Intervention to increase student literacy. |
|                                  | Math connections teacher will provide intervention and monitor progress as well with          |
|                                  | necessary resources for identified struggling learners.                                       |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Reading connections teacher   |
|                                  | Math connections teacher  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Weekly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Provide students with equitable and reliable access to multiple forms of technology. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A  |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG  |
|                                  | Title I, Part C  |
|                                  | Title I, Part D  |
|                                  | Title II, Part A   |
|                                  | Title III, Part A  |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A   |
|                                  | Title V, Part B  |
|                                  | IDEA   |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento   |
|                                  | Perkins  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                                  | Foster   |
|                                  | Homeless   |
|                                  | English Learners   |
|                                  | Migrant  |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Systems                          | Coherent Instruction   |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring            | Coordination of use between the media specialist and classroom teachers              |
| Implementation and Effectiveness |  |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Media Specialist and teachers  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Weekly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Provide necessary resources to staff and students to support school-wide initiatives of |
|----------------------------------|---|
| •                                | literacy across all content areas and STEM education.                                   |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A   |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG   |
|                                  | Title I, Part C   |
|                                  | Title I, Part D   |
|                                  | Title II, Part A  |
|                                  | Title III, Part A   |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A  |
|                                  | Title V, Part B   |
|                                  | IDEA  |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento  |
|                                  | Perkins   |
|                                  | N/A   |
|                                  | Consolidated Funding  |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                                  | Foster  |
|                                  | Homeless  |
|                                  | English Learners  |
|                                  | Migrant   |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities   |
|                                  | N/A   |
| Systems                          | Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Method for Monitoring            | MAP and GMAS Lexile Levels  |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | MAP and GMAS math and science scores  |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Administrators and teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Weekly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

#### 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.2 OverarchingNeed # 2

#### Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified i | Improve student discipline data.   |
|----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2                  |  |
| Root Cause # 1                   | More emphasis on PBIS and PBIS rewards to reduce inappropriate behavior.               |
| Goal                             | Provide a culture that encourages personal responsibility and ownership while building |
|                                  | capacity for decision making that leads to success as demonstrated by a 7% decrease in |
|                                  | behavioral incidents.  |

| Action Step                      | Ensure there is systematic approach to providing a safe learning environment for all |
|----------------------------------|--|
|                                  | stakeholders.  |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A  |
| _                                | Title I, Part A SIG  |
|                                  | Title I, Part C  |
|                                  | Title I, Part D  |
|                                  | Title II, Part A   |
|                                  | Title III, Part A  |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A   |
|                                  | Title V, Part B  |
|                                  | IDEA   |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento   |
|                                  | Perkins  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                                  | Foster   |
|                                  | Homeless   |
|                                  | English Learners   |
|                                  | Migrant  |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Systems                          | Effective Leadership   |
|                                  | Professional Capacity  |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring            | APD will evaluate the plan throughout the year to ensure that a safe environment is  |
| Implementation and Effectiveness |  |
| Position/Role Responsible        | APD  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Quarterly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Ensure there is systematic approach to identifying, remediating and enriching students |
|----------------------------------|--|
|                                  | based on Multi-Tiered Systems of Support and Academic Opportunity/Tiger Time.          |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A  |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG  |
|                                  | Title I, Part C  |
|                                  | Title I, Part D  |
|                                  | Title II, Part A   |
|                                  | Title III, Part A  |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A   |
|                                  | Title V, Part B  |
|                                  | IDEA   |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento   |
|                                  | Perkins  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                                  | Foster   |
|                                  | Homeless   |
|                                  | English Learners   |
|                                  | Migrant  |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Systems                          | Coherent Instruction   |
|                                  | Professional Capacity  |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring            | PLC meeting agendas  |
| Implementation and Effectiveness |  |
|                                  | PBIS data  |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Administrators, teachers, counselors   |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Monthly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Empower students to reflect and modify their behaviors as needed so they may engage |
|----------------------------------|---|
|                                  | successfully in all settings and acquire outcomes to meet personal goals through    |
|                                  | implementation and us of Positive Behavioral Intervention Strategies.               |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A   |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG   |
|                                  | Title I, Part C   |
|                                  | Title I, Part D   |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                                  | Foster  |
|                                  | Homeless  |
|                                  | English Learners  |
|                                  | Migrant   |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities   |
|                                  | N/A   |
| Systems                          | Effective Leadership  |
|                                  | Professional Capacity   |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Method for Monitoring            | SWIS data   |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Feedback from staff   |
|                                  | PBIS meeting minutes  |
| Position/Role Responsible        | PBIS team   |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Monthly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Recognize students through PBIS Rewards for displaying the character traits of Thomson Tigers' ROAR. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A  |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG  |
|                                  | Title I, Part C  |
|                                  | Title I, Part D  |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                                  | Foster   |
|                                  | Homeless   |
|                                  | English Learners   |
|                                  | Migrant  |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities  |
|                                  | N/A  |
| Systems                          | Effective Leadership   |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring            | Swis data  |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Teacher feedback   |
|                                  | PBIS meeting minutes   |
| Position/Role Responsible        | PBIS team  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Monthly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

#### 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.3 OverarchingNeed # 3

#### Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Improve opportunities for stakeholder engagement.   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| CNA Section 3.2                   |   |
| Root Cause # 1                    | Gain staff, student, parent, and community support in the decision making process for our |
|                                   | school.   |
| Goal                              | Provide opportunities for stakeholder engagement that will encourage a 10% increase in    |
|                                   | stakeholder involvement as measured by stakeholder sign-in sheets.                        |

| Action Step                      | Implement, communicate and engage stakeholders in Thomson's Positive Behavioral |
|----------------------------------|---|
|                                  | Intervention Strategies initiative.   |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A   |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG   |
|                                  | Title I, Part C   |
|                                  | Title I, Part D   |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                                  | Foster  |
|                                  | Homeless  |
|                                  | English Learners  |
|                                  | Migrant   |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities   |
|                                  | N/A   |
| Systems                          | Family and Community Engagement   |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Method for Monitoring            | Number of behavior incidents  |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Parent sign in sheets   |
|                                  | Parent survey feedback  |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Teachers, administrators, PBIS team   |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Monthly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Increase communication between all stakeholders by utilizing a variety of communication |
|----------------------------------|---|
| •                                | tools and provide support for the use of these communication tools.                     |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A   |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG   |
|                                  | Title I, Part C   |
|                                  | Title I, Part D   |
|                                  | Title II, Part A  |
|                                  | Title III, Part A   |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A  |
|                                  | Title V, Part B   |
|                                  | IDEA  |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento  |
|                                  | Perkins   |
|                                  | N/A   |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                                  | Foster  |
|                                  | Homeless  |
|                                  | English Learners  |
|                                  | Migrant   |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities   |
|                                  | N/A   |
| Systems                          | Family and Community Engagement   |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Method for Monitoring            | Parent attendance at events   |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | ·   |
|                                  | Staff surveys   |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Parent Involvement Coordinator  |
|                                  | Administrators  |
|                                  | Teachers  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Monthly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step                      | Family Engagement Coordinator will provide opportunities for shared decision making |
|----------------------------------|---|
| -                                | among staff, teachers, parents, and students.                                       |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A   |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG   |
|                                  | Title I, Part C   |
|                                  | Title I, Part D   |
|                                  | Title II, Part A  |
|                                  | Title III, Part A   |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A  |
|                                  | Title V, Part B   |
|                                  | IDEA  |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento  |
|                                  | Perkins   |
|                                  | N/A   |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                                  | Foster  |
|                                  | Homeless  |
|                                  | English Learners  |
|                                  | Migrant   |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities   |
|                                  | N/A   |
| Systems                          | Effective Leadership  |
|                                  | Professional Capacity   |
|                                  | Family and Community Engagement   |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Method for Monitoring            | Parent attendance at events   |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Guiding Coalition agendas/notes   |
|                                  | Climate Survey  |
|                                  | Leadership Retreat agenda/notes   |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Parent Involvement Coordinator  |
|                                  | Administrators  |
|                                  | Teachers  |

| Timeline for Implementation Monthly |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|-------------------------------------|--|--|

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step     | Increase support for student learning and college and career readiness through parental |  |
|-----------------|---|--|
|                 | and community outreach and involvement in our school.                                   |  |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A   |  |
|                 | Title I, Part A SIG   |  |
|                 | Title I, Part C   |  |
|                 | Title I, Part D   |  |
|                 | Title II, Part A  |  |
|                 | Title III, Part A   |  |
|                 | Title IV, Part A  |  |
|                 | Title V, Part B   |  |
|                 | IDEA  |  |
|                 | McKinney-Vento  |  |
|                 | Perkins   |  |
|                 | N/A   |  |
| Subgroups       | Economically Disadvantaged  |  |
|                 | Foster  |  |
|                 | Homeless  |  |
|                 | English Learners  |  |
|                 | Migrant   |  |
|                 | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |  |
|                 | Student with Disabilities   |  |
|                 | N/A   |  |
| Systems         | Coherent Instruction  |  |
|                 | Effective Leadership  |  |
|                 | Professional Capacity   |  |
|                 | Family and Community Engagement   |  |
|                 | Supportive Learning Environment   |  |

| Method for Monitoring            | Parent attendance at events     |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Guiding Coalition agendas/notes |
|                                  | Climate Survey                  |
|                                  | Leadership Retreat agenda/notes |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Parent Involvement Coordinator  |
|                                  | Administrators                  |
|                                  | Teachers                        |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Monthly                         |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

#### 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.4 OverarchingNeed # 4

### Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Provide quality professional development and opportunities for growth among staff    |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2                   | members  |
| Root Cause # 1                    | Provide learning opportunities for staff to improve student learning.                |
| Goal                              | Provide/Ensure continuous improvement through professional development and           |
|                                   | opportunities for growth as demonstrated by a 2% increase in student promotion rate. |

| Action Step                      | Provide sustained, job-embedded professional learning for administrators, teachers and support staff to increase understanding in the areas of content, instructional strategies, |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                  | assessment uses and technology.   |  |
| Funding Sources                  | Title I, Part A   |  |
|                                  | Title I, Part A SIG   |  |
|                                  | Title I, Part C   |  |
|                                  | Title I, Part D   |  |
|                                  | Title II, Part A  |  |
|                                  | Title III, Part A   |  |
|                                  | Title IV, Part A  |  |
|                                  | Title V, Part B   |  |
|                                  | IDEA  |  |
|                                  | McKinney-Vento  |  |
|                                  | Perkins   |  |
|                                  | N/A   |  |
| Subgroups                        | Economically Disadvantaged  |  |
|                                  | Foster  |  |
|                                  | Homeless  |  |
|                                  | English Learners  |  |
|                                  | Migrant   |  |
|                                  | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |  |
|                                  | Student with Disabilities   |  |
|                                  | N/A   |  |
| Systems                          | Coherent Instruction  |  |
|                                  | Effective Leadership  |  |
|                                  | Professional Capacity   |  |
|                                  | Family and Community Engagement   |  |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment   |  |
| Method for Monitoring            | PLC agendas   |  |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | ŭ   |  |
|                                  | Conference Feedback Forms   |  |

| Position/Role Responsible   | Administrators |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly        |

| What partnerships, if any, w | th     |
|------------------------------|--------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |        |
| Community based organizat    | ions,  |
| or any private entity with a |        |
| demonstrated record of succ  | ess is |
| the LEA implementing in ca   | rrying |
| out this action step(s)?     |        |

| Action Step     | Ensure best practices through professional development and growth using student |  |
|-----------------|---|--|
|                 | performance data, common assessments, and teacher feedback data as our guide.   |  |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A   |  |
|                 | Title I, Part A SIG   |  |
|                 | Title I, Part C   |  |
|                 | Title I, Part D   |  |
|                 | Title II, Part A  |  |
|                 | Title III, Part A   |  |
|                 | Title IV, Part A  |  |
|                 | Title V, Part B   |  |
|                 | IDEA  |  |
|                 | McKinney-Vento  |  |
|                 | Perkins   |  |
|                 | N/A   |  |
| Subgroups       | Economically Disadvantaged  |  |
|                 | Foster  |  |
|                 | Homeless  |  |
|                 | English Learners  |  |
|                 | Migrant   |  |
|                 | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |  |
|                 | Student with Disabilities   |  |
|                 | N/A   |  |
| Systems         | Coherent Instruction  |  |
|                 | Effective Leadership  |  |
|                 | Professional Capacity   |  |
|                 | Family and Community Engagement   |  |
|                 | Supportive Learning Environment   |  |

| Method for Monitoring            | TKES professional learning goals |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Implementation and Effectiveness |                                  |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Administrators                   |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Monthly                          |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step     | Continual staff growth through the use of Professional Learning Communities. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A  |
|                 | Title I, Part A SIG  |
|                 | Title I, Part C  |
|                 | Title I, Part D  |
|                 | Title II, Part A   |
|                 | Title III, Part A  |
|                 | Title IV, Part A   |
|                 | Title V, Part B  |
|                 | IDEA   |
|                 | McKinney-Vento   |
|                 | Perkins  |
|                 | N/A  |
| Subgroups       | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                 | Foster   |
|                 | Homeless   |
|                 | English Learners   |
|                 | Migrant  |
|                 | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                 | Student with Disabilities  |
|                 | N/A  |
| Systems         | Coherent Instruction   |
|                 | Effective Leadership   |
|                 | Professional Capacity  |
|                 | Family and Community Engagement  |

| Systems                          | Supportive Learning Environment |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Method for Monitoring            | PLC agendas                     |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Administrator observation       |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Administrators                  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Weekly                          |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

| Action Step     | Provide professional learning opportunities based on faculty needs assessment. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A  |
|                 | Title I, Part A SIG  |
|                 | Title I, Part C  |
|                 | Title I, Part D  |
|                 | Title II, Part A   |
|                 | Title III, Part A  |
|                 | Title IV, Part A   |
|                 | Title V, Part B  |
|                 | IDEA   |
|                 | McKinney-Vento   |
|                 | Perkins  |
|                 | N/A  |
| Subgroups       | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                 | Foster   |
|                 | Homeless   |
|                 | English Learners   |
|                 | Migrant  |
|                 | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                 | Student with Disabilities  |
|                 | N/A  |
| Systems         | Coherent Instruction   |
|                 | Effective Leadership   |

| Systems                          | Professional Capacity           |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                  | Family and Community Engagement |
|                                  | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring            | Guiding Coalition agendas/notes |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Climate surveys                 |
|                                  | GMAS data                       |
|                                  | PLC agendas and observations    |
| Position/Role Responsible        | Administrators                  |
| Timeline for Implementation      | Weekly                          |

| What partnerships, if any, with   |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,      |
| Community based organizations,    |
| or any private entity with a      |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying  |
| out this action step(s)?          |

#### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

#### 3.1 Stakeholders, Serving Children, PQ

Required Questions

1 In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders) was accomplished. This plan was refined from the initial plan created in 2019. The plan was developed through a combination of our monthly guiding coalition meetings, surveys, assessment data, and classroom observations. Multiple sources of our school's data has been reviewed and we have had deep conversations about areas where we need to improve. Perception, process, achievement and demographic data from staff, parents, students, and the administration were reviewed.

2 Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.

Thomson Middle School does not have a disproportional issue. All students receive instruction at the same high levels throughout the school. All TMS certified staff members are highly qualified in their assigned grade level and subject. The leadership team monitors subgroup participation in all areas of the school looking for trends.

3 Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I School. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).

A specialized reading intervention teacher is used to intervene with students struggling in ELA content. A math intervention teacher will be added for the 2020-2021 school year. A parent involvement coordinator is also employed to provide parents with support and allow for stakeholder engagement. Programs such as Writescore, Brainpop, USA Testprep, Problematic, zipgrade, apperson, and istation are provided to teachers for additional support with instruction and assessment. We will continue to investigate the use of additional programs such as IXL, Freckle, Moby Max, vocaubulary.com, and Edgenuity for possible implementation. Basic needs such as pencil, pens, paper, notebooks, and composition books are provided for students in need. The Title I program also helps support at-risk students by providing access to electronics such as calculators, computers, and ipads. Conference registration, substitute, and travel costs are also made available to staff for professional development when aligned with our school goals. Looking forward we see the need to improve technology within our school and more specifically access to laptops for our students.

4 If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance

Thomson Middle School is not a Targeted Assistance School.

| criteria to rank students for service. Also     |  |
|---|--|
| include a description of the measurable scale   |  |
| (point system) that uses the objective criteria |  |
| to rank all students.                           |  |

## 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

## 3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

| Required | Questions |
|----------|-----------|
|----------|-----------|

| -  |  |
|--|--|
| 5 If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.  | Not applicable.  |
|  |  |
| 6 If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:  Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and  Increased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills. | Not applicable.  |
|  |  |
| 7 Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.  | The school will continue with our second year of PBIS implementation. This initiative is designed to help students practice socially acceptable behavior and make positive choices. The Second Step curriculum will be utilized as well. |
| ADDITIONAL RESPONSES   |  |
| 8 Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan  |  |
|  |  |