| 1                | OFFICIAL MINUTES   |   |  |  |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5 | of the <b>SPECIAL MEETING</b> of the Greenwich Township Board of Education held <i>Thursday, January 5, 2012</i> in the Nehaunsey Middle School library. |   |  |  |
| 6<br>7           | The meeting was called to order by President Jason Murschell at 7:00 p.m.  |   |  |  |
| 8                | Roll   | Roll Call:  |  |  |
| 9                |  |   |  |  |
| 10               |  | Jaso  | n Murschell  |  |
| 11               |  | James Worrell   |  |  |
| 12               |  | Alan Boultinghouse  |  |  |
| 13               |  | Louis Fabiani   |  |  |
| 14               |  | Lisa Morina   |  |  |
| 15               |  | Roseanne Lombardo   |  |  |
| 16               | Susan Vernacchio   |   |  |  |
| 17               |  |   |  |  |
| 18               | Also present were Mr. Vincent Tarantino, Interim Superintendent and Mr. Scott A.   |   |  |  |
| 19               | Cam  | Campbell, School Business Administrator/Board Secretary.  |  |  |
| 20               | _  |   |  |  |
| 21               |  | As required under the guidelines of the Open Public Meeting Law, notice of this meeting   |  |  |
| 22               |  | was sent to the <u>Courier Post, Gloucester County Times</u> and the <u>Township Clerk</u> . It   |  |  |
| 23               | was also posted in the Greenwich Township School Buildings. (Optional: Videotaping   |   |  |  |
| 24               | Regulations – "The proceedings of this meeting are being videotaped and anyone   |   |  |  |
| 25               | wishing to discuss an individual child should so note.")   |   |  |  |
| 26               | 005  |   |  |  |
| 27               | OPENING PROCEDURES   |   |  |  |
| 28               | 4  | ITEN/   | IC FOR DISCUSSION  |  |
| 29               | <u>1.</u>  |   | IS FOR DISCUSSION  |  |
| 30               |  | ۸   | Building Consolidation Project Lou Dol coss Design Collaborative           |  |
| 31<br>32         |  | A.  | Building Consolidation Project - Lou DeLosso, Design Collaborative         |  |
|                  |  | B.  | 2012-2013 Budget - Susan Vernacchio  |  |
| 33<br>34         |  | Lou   | Dol acco gave a presentation about the building concellidation project and |  |
| 35               | Lou DeLosso gave a presentation about the building consolidation project and   |   |  |  |
| 36               |  | started out with a power-point presentation on ESIP, (Energy Savings  |  |  |
| 30<br>37         |  | Improvement Program). It is an alternative program that the board can consider. <b>Mr. DeLosso</b> said he is not looking for any decisions tonight; it is just |  |  |
| 38               | something for the board to look over and maybe take advantage of. The ESIP   |   |  |  |
| ~~               |  |   | raming its and beard to reen ever and maybe take advantage on. The boll    |  |

January 5, 2012 Special Meeting

because it is a lot of information for the board to comprehend.

an energy expense without increasing any debt to the taxpayers. Mr.

39

40

41 42

43

44

information was taken from the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. It is a "path"

that can be taken by a public entity to utilize the savings you would have by doing

**DeLosso's** presentation went on to talk about installation costs and the amount the taxpayers will be saving. He stressed again not to make a hasty decision

Page 1

43

44

**Lisa Morina** said that based on Mr. DeLosso's chart, the installation costs over the payback period equates to \$291,000.00 and we are putting out \$291,000.00 to save \$47,000.00 "after" six years? **Mr. DeLosso** responded by saying, "every year".

**Mr. DeLosso** then went on to say that they went to the state for the \$15.4 million dollars for the debt service aid. He said, as the board will see in his letter and in his report, he is strongly recommending that the board not go out for referendum on the 24<sup>th</sup> of January because "we have not heard anything from the Department of Education directly". He explained that the Design Collaborative will not be getting an immediate decision to give you enough information so that you can make an informed decision by January 24<sup>th</sup>. The next available date is March 13, 2012.

Vincent Tarantino asked about the \$27,500.00 for the energy audit and how that reflects back to the architects fee if we are taking a million dollars out of the project; how does that reflect back? Mr. DeLosso answered that it comes out of his fee. Mr. Tarantino asked if the energy audit fee has to be paid as soon as the board approved it? Mr. DeLosso replied, "no". Lisa Morina asked why the board would hire The Design Collaborative to do that when we can get one of the six firms from the state that are pre-approved and essentially have it done for nothing? Mr. DeLosso said that you will still have to pay the state up front just as you would do for our firm but you would get it back once the project is done. Mrs. Morina asked Mr. DeLosso how many of these audits his firm has done? Mr. DeLosso wasn't sure but thinks maybe three or four. Mrs. Morina meant no disrespect but she didn't understand why we would choose Mr. DeLosso's firm when there are pre-approved firms from the state. Mr. DeLosso responded by saying that a lot of those firms will not be able to do the audit right away; the time frame and turn around is at least three to four months before they actually get in and start the work and then another two to three months before you get anything back. Mrs. Morina said she "respectfully disagrees with that". She then said about a month ago, the board approved a plan for the Broad Street School. She asked if this was included in that plan and why do we have to have an urgent special meeting on this when we are not voting on it tonight and if this was not included in the plan, why not? Mr. DeLosso replied that the scope of work was included in the Broad Street School with the exception of the solar and a month ago we were a little optimistic about state aid. Mrs. Morina feels the energy savings is great but she is confused as to why this is coming about now when we have been working through a process and you would think with the "world looking to be green" that these kinds of measures would have been discussed. She said she brought up to this board the New Jersey Smart Start Program and is it part of the program and can it be reimbursed. We haven't discussed it as a board and she isn't the only one on the board in the dark about not going out for referendum in January and not getting state money. She feels this is a "band-

January 5, 2012 Special Meeting Page 2

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

aid" approach and asked what we are doing about all the other renovations and the handi-capped accessibility and all those kinds of things that were the "driving urgency" to get this project run through. She is trying to justify where we are. Mr. DeLosso said that he is recommending to the board to not have a referendum in January. This is the first time he has had to inform a board of that but it seems to be the rational approach to take and it is the first time all of you are hearing that. Mrs. Morina said it isn't about voting; it is about whether this was already included in the project. Mr. DeLosso said that these measures were already included in the referendum. Regarding the ESIP program, if you hire any of those six firms that are pre-approved by the state, they can't do the engineering work so if you hired them, you would still have to hire someone else to do the engineering. As your architect of record, we can do a "self-performing ESIP". Jason Murschell asked if we do choose to hire The Design Collaborative to perform the audit, how long would it take to get everything done and for you to come back with a number and get everything started and would you have enough time to do the work in the summer? Mr. DeLosso replied. "yes". He then thanked everyone for giving him the opportunity to speak.

Leonard Hurff, 608 Betty Rose Avenue, Gibbstown, asked whether the new boilers will be steam or low pressure water? Mr. DeLosso replied, "low pressure water". Mr. Hurff asked if a black seal will be required to run it? Representatives from the Design Collaborative replied that the black seal requirement comes into play depending on the input rating; if you are under four million, you don't need a black seal. "It is going to depend on the size of the boilers."

Cathy Tortella. 414 Swedesboro Road. Gibbstown, said she knows the goal is to consolidate into Broad Street School but since we have such a low tax rate here in Gibbstown, she asked why we can't go out to bond and "energy save" both buildings? We can still do the necessary things that need to be done at Broad Street such as an elevator but keep both buildings open. She also asked what is the ultimate goal of the Board in doing this? Is it to fix the energy at Broad and forget Nehaunsey and eventually go out for bond next January and do the consolidation back into Broad Street School? She hadn't heard the ultimate goal. She also wanted to know what happened to strategic planning? Mr. **DeLosso** replied that this is all a part of the strategic planning. From what he understood, the plan, as selected by the Board, was to close Nehaunsey School and consolidate into Broad Street School. Mrs. Tortella thought there was supposed to be a "strategic planning committee". Jason Murschell responded by saying that as far as the strategic planning committee, we have our Regulatory Committee which is in charge of the building project and it goes on the plans based upon the recommendations of all kinds of groups such as the Superintendent and the staff to meet all the educational goals of this district and move into the future. Vincent Tarantino said that as soon as the committee

gets together, we can meet with the E.I.R.C. and get things rolling but we wanted to see where we were with the building project. So Mrs. Tortella said that what you are telling her is that because the board has decided to consolidation into Broad Street, you would never consider putting any energy savings plans at Nehaunsey and Broad Street and keeping both buildings open? Mr. Murschell said that at this time, the board has already voted towards consolidation into Broad Street School. Mrs. Tortella said that the public has to vote for that but Mr. Murschell said that the public will be voting on whether they want to pay for the consolidation into Broad Street School. Mrs. Tortella asked if the public was voting for this energy saving program? Mr. Murschell said no. It was something that the board is doing because it is "debt neutral". There is no incurred debt to the town for this. Mrs. Tortella then asked if there was no incurred debt, than why can't it be done to the Nehaunsey School? Mr. DeLosso said because the board would have to enter into a 15-year agreement if we did it at Nehaunsey which means you would be committing to keeping that building open for 15 years. Mrs. Morina said unless we sold the building and recouped the costs in that sale. Mr. DeLosso said he would have to see how that would work.

Lori Bass-Riley, 612 Betty Rose Avenue, Gibbstown, said she is hearing of the money that will be saved once they finish with the consolidation. She wanted to know if there has been an audit done to see how much it will cost to maintain Nehaunsey School before it can be sold? She went on to say that in this economy, no one is looking to purchase or even rent and if we have to keep Nehaunsey until it can be sold, were those costs considered in the referendum? Jason Murschell said that was a very valid question and one that needs to be looked into.

Lee Lucas, 361 Democrat Road, Gibbstown, asked what is wrong with the boilers at Broad Street School? Mr. DeLosso replied that they are old and one is out of commission. The newer ones are from the 1950 or 1960's. The one that is not used is from 1928 or 1930. Mr. Lucas asked if they were steam to which Mr. DeLosso replied, "yes". He also said that they have to remove the asbestos which will take two summers to do because he doesn't want anyone in the building when that work is being done.

Jeanette Peel, 521 Betty Rose Avenue, Gibbstown, said by her calculations, it was going to cost \$500,000.00 to pay back any loans that are incurred for the renovations at Broad Street School. Mr. DeLosso said that it the local share and it does not include the 32.5% we get from the state or approximately \$340,000.00 so the total debt would be around \$890,000.00. Mrs. Peel then went on to say that to maintain Nehaunsey School, Mr. DeLosso figured on \$333,000.00 a year. Those were the actual costs. Mrs. Peel said that based on those two figures, the savings wouldn't happen for 25 years because we would be putting out an extra \$125,000.00 a year to pay towards the referendum as opposed to paying

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

we will see an immediate cost reduction? Mr. DeLosso replied, "no". He said it would be 25 years before the district will see any savings. Jason Murschell stated that before we reach that point, there are systems in both schools that are going to break down and we are going to have to spend the money to fix them anyway. Mr. DeLosso said at that point, if something major breaks, you have to spend the money to fix it and you will not be getting any state aid to do it. So by consolidating, we repair those things and we get state money to help pay for it. Mrs. Peel then asked if we are getting a "state of the art school" or just bringing the school "up to code"? Mr. DeLosso said the building will be up to code but the educational will be brought up to the state requirements the district has for its educational programs. He also said there will be no fancy atriums; we are just talking about rejuvenating a building. Mrs. Peel asked if the doors will be hung straight and if the cracks in the floor will be replaced? Mr. DeLosso responded by saying, "yes". Mrs. Peel then said that the Gibbstown community has very little to offer young families and our school district is our strongest valued point but this "school building issue" probably is what is keeping young families from moving here. She feels that this district has a "fantastic" education program and she would hate to see it sidetracked because everyone is so "structured" over what building we are going to teach these children in instead of focusing on the children themselves. Mr. Murschell said that the county superintendent has determined that we are \$2.1 million over adequacy which means we could see cuts in our finances. We are maxed in our budget and if we have to start cutting program, where is the education? We have to balance it all out. Mrs. Peel went on record to say that you can double her taxes as long as you are giving her a "state of the art school" that will make East Greenwich, Logan & Swedesboro-Woolwich jealous and make people want to move here. Mr. Murschell said that at the same time, this board answers to all the citizens in this district including the 60% of senior citizens who are on a fixed income-they can't say "double my taxes". Mrs. Peel said that most of them have their taxes "frozen" anyway.

\$333,000.00 a year to maintain Nehaunsey. **Mr. DeLosso** said it would cost \$5

million over 25 years to maintain Nehaunsey School. Mrs. Peel wanted to know if

**Mr. DeLosso** thanked everyone for their time during his presentation.

Susan Vernacchio spoke about the budget for the 2012-2013 school year. Vincent Tarantino also stated that the motto this year is "do more with less". He spoke with the administrative staff and each administrator was asked to look at their departments and come back with recommendations based on a zero-based budget. He said that he wants to present to the public a budget that is "streamlined" and "cost effective" without hurting programs. He then asked Scott Campbell for his input about next year's budget.

Scott A. Campbell started by saying that in regards to the tax situation in this district, it could be severe to the taxpayers this coming year. The current

situation is the potential decrease in ratables and it is not "looking very promising". Susan Vernacchio said the way we do business in this school district depends greatly on the ratables and whether they do up or down. Mr. Campbell reminded everyone that last year there was a \$.05 decrease in the budget and at that time he said that next year was another year and it could get worse or it could be better. He told everyone to "prepare for worse", hope the state aid remains the same or gets better and hope we find ways to generate more revenue than what we already do.

## 2. PUBLIC - AGENDA/NON-AGENDA ITEMS

This is the time when anyone from the public who wishes to speak to the Board may do so. Please state your name, address and phone number. The Board will hear you concerns. The Board may or may not take action this evening. You will be notified either at this meeting, by letter, or telephone of any action the Board does take.

**Leonard Hurff**, 608 Betty Rose Avenue, Gibbstown, said since the board is talking about cutting down on costs, he wanted to know who pays for the lights at the soccer fields? **Scott Campbell** answered by saying that the township takes care of all that; the school board has nothing to do with that.

**Lee Lucas**, 361 Democrat Road, Gibbstown, asked if the board was going out for referendum on January 24<sup>th</sup>? **Vincent Tarantino** said the board will have to vote on that tonight. **Mr. Lucas** then said that he doesn't feel this district will get any state aid because the state is a "fiscal basket case".

Kathleen Hill, 17 Troy Avenue, Gibbstown, said the truth about the ratable loss is that it could be \$77 million; that is what is on the books at the assessor's office in the township. She said it is not "set in stone" but that is a huge ratable loss for this town. She also said it equates to over \$.20 on our rate without the referendum being passed. She thinks the board should "seriously consider" the impact on the taxpayers before even talking about the referendum to consolidate the schools at least until we know what our ratable base is in this township.

Lee Lucas asked why we are losing \$77 million? Scott Campbell said it is based off of what the refineries industry report on their PT-10 form which is their personal property. Ms. Hill said the reality is that there are multiple long-term appeals in this township. Some are over ten years old. That \$77 million is only on one property. The amount could go to \$100 million. Ms. Hill then asked what the long term plans are for the Nehaunsey Middle School building if the district does consolidate? Jason Murschell said that the board cannot make any speculations just yet because they can't commit themselves until after a decision is made. Ms. Hill said that "collectively as a board" you recommended that we

consolidate so what is your recommendation for Nehaunsey? Mr. Murschell replied that it could be sold to a private company, to another school district or the township could take it over.

Diana Taormina, 734 Duncan Avenue, Gibbstown, said if you are trying to decide what to do and where to go, isn't this a "big piece of the puzzle" to try and sell this building? Mr. Murschell said it is a very big piece but we still cannot decide anything as of yet. Mrs. Taormina said it seems like everything is backwards. The board should know what they are doing before making the decision to consolidate. Mr. Murschell said that if someone came forward and wanted to buy this building and we agree and then the referendum to consolidate into Broad Street School fails, where do we go from there? Mrs. Taormina asked if there are any offers because if you look around, there are empty buildings everywhere so the odds of this building getting sold are small? Mr. Murschell said no one has come forward to him personally.

Kathleen Hill asked what our bond rating is? Scott Campbell said he did not know. She asked if our ratables decrease, does our bond rating also decrease? Mr. Murschell said it is something we can ask our bond counsel but Mr. Campbell feels that it does decrease along with the ratables. Ms. Hill said that \$77 million less in ratables will drive the interest rate up on a bond to which Mr. Campbell agreed and then added that it will be because the "risk is greater".

Terry DiSanto, Greenwich Township employee, asked Susan Vernacchio what date we would be getting the state aid figure? Mrs. Vernacchio replied that February 21 is when the governor will be giving his address and Mr. Campbell said it is usually the next day. Mrs. DiSanto asked if she has heard anything about whether it will be increased or decreased? Mr. Campbell and Mrs. Vernacchio both replied that they have heard nothing. Mrs. DiSanto said that you then cannot count on state aid as being an assistance to our predicament? Mr. Campbell said you will still have the decrease in ratables whether there is an increase or decrease in state aid. We can only go to 2% maximum on our tax levy so if everything stayed the same and we went to our maximum, the district would be looking at a \$.03 increase which isn't too bad but with the potential for a decrease in ratables, it "throws everything off". Ms. Hill wanted to remind the board and everyone else that the township will be going through a town-wide property reevaluation this year and no one knows where the property values are "going to land" in 2013 and you are asking us to potentially support a 25-year bond. She feels it is "absurd" especially in these economic times that we are in. She also said, "remember the fixed incomes".

Cathy Tortella asked if we "energy save" Broad Street School, where does that money come from? Mr. Murschell answered, "from the energy savings". Mrs. Tortella asked if there was a maximum that you could use on that? She said her

example would be if you "energy-saved" Broad Street School without public approval, then we get a jump in our taxes this year and the board goes out for referendum and nobody votes for it because their taxes are already high and the consolidation project goes down, now you have Broad Street School that is energy efficient but not Nehaunsey School. Can we then go back and make Nehaunsey School energy efficient and keep both buildings open? Mr. Murschell said it could be done. Mrs. Tortella asked Mr. Murschell if he was confident that the bond referendum is going to pass? Mr. Murschell replied that he wouldn't put it forward if he didn't think it would pass.

Kathleen DiBella, 37 North Orchard Street, Gibbstown, said that along with the school, her home was one that was being tested by the EPA. She said she has received the results for the air quality but has not heard anything about the results for the ground samples and she was wondering if the school heard back from the EPA as to their results and if it was public knowledge? Vincent Tarantino replied that he has heard back from them and she is welcome to come into the school and look over the results.

## 3. **BOND REFERENDUM**

Motion: (Fabiani/Worrell) to approve the following:

Α. The approval to table the bond referendum on January 24, 2012 until further notice.

Lisa Morina asked Scott Campbell to get an accounting of what was spent so far for the January 24<sup>th</sup> bond referendum.

28

Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

## 4. ADJOURNMENT

(Fabiani/Worrell) to adjourn the meeting at 8:38 p.m. Motion:

Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Respectfully Submitted,

Scott A. Campbell, Board Secretary

January 5, 2012 Special Meeting