SOLICITATION ADDENDUM NO. 2

MAY 02, 2017

THE FOLLOWING CHANGES/ADDITIONS TO THE ABOVE CITED SOLICITATIONS ARE ANNOUNCED:

This Addendum modifies the request for Proposal (RFP) document(s) only to the extent indicated herein. All other areas not changed or otherwise modified by this Addendum shall remain in full force and effect. This Addendum is hereby made an integral part of the RFP document. Proposers must be responsive to all requirements of this Addendum as if the requirements were set forth in the RFP. Failure to do so may result in Proposal rejection. See the RFP regarding request for clarification or change and protests of this Addendum and the deadlines for the foregoing.

This addendum is to be acknowledged in the space provided on the Proposer Form Appendix A in the RFP document. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum may be cause to reject your Proposal.

The proposal due date REMAINS AS: MAY 9, 2017 at 4:00 PM Pacific Time.

QUESTIONS:

1. In the existing Seaside Heights School, will the Owner perform any Hazardous Materials or Paint abatement prior to the commencement of the remodel or should the CM/GC plan on performing under their contracted scope?

Answer: Any Hazardous Materials or Paint abatement in the existing school will be performed by CM/GC under their contracted scope.

2. Section 3.2 Format: Are front and back covers, table of contents and cover letter included as part of the 40 page limit?

Answer: Front and back covers, table of contents and cover letter are not included in the 40 page limit.
3. Please clarify how should the sealed envelope be marked and what should be listed for the RFP number on the cover letter.

Answer: Submit the proposal in a sealed envelope that is plainly marked “Proposal to Provide CM/GC Services – Seaside School District 2017 GO Bond Project and bears the proposer’s name, address, telephone number, email address and website.

On the cover letter include the RFP Name “Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC) Services for Seaside School District 2017 GO Bond Project”.

4. On page 4 of the Geological Assessment from GeoDesign, there is a reference to two previous reports from 2009 and 2010. Please provide copy of those reports?

Answer: Refer attachments -

Providence-38-01-040709-geolr.pdf
Providence-38-02-060910-geom.pdf

5. Part a. of 3.2.3.1 states “(Note: Pre-construction Services will not be scored as part of the evaluation review.) In Section 4.4, part 6, it states that “Preconstruction and Construction services” fees will be evaluated and receive a possible maximum of 20 points. Please confirm if the Preconstruction Fee is evaluated or not.

Answer: 3.2.3.1 is correct: Pre-Construction services is not part of scored section of Fee/Price Proposal and will be negotiated upon successful award with the highest ranked Proposer.