

**Procurement Standards for the Acquisition of Property or Services
Under a Federal Award
2 C.F.R. §§ 200.317-300.327**

This Appendix addresses procurements of property and services under a Federal award. Whenever these Federal Uniform Guidance Procurement Standards, as may be amended from time to time, are applicable to procurements made by the Board of Education (the “Board”), the Board shall apply the more restrictive procurement rules, to the extent it is required to do so.

2 C.F.R. §	FULL TEXT OF C.F.R. SECTION	BRIEF SUMMARY
200.317	Procurements by States	
	When procuring property and services under a Federal award, a State must follow the same policies and procedures it uses for procurements from its non-Federal funds. The State will comply with §§ 200.321, 200.322, and 200.323 and ensure that every purchase order or other contract includes any clauses required by § 200.327. All other non-Federal entities, including subrecipients of a State, must follow the procurement standards in §§ 200.318 through 200.327.	A State must follow the same policies and procedures when making procurements under a Federal award and when making procurements using non-Federal funds. The Board must follow 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.318 through 200.327 when making procurements under a Federal award.
200.318	General Procurement Standards	
200.318(a)	The non-Federal entity must have and use documented procurement procedures, consistent with State, local, and tribal laws and regulations and the standards of this section, for the acquisition of property or services required under a Federal award or subaward. The non-Federal entity's documented procurement procedures must conform to the procurement standards identified in §§ 200.317 through 200.327.	The Board must have and use documented procurement procedures consistent with State, local, and Federal requirements for procurements made under a Federal award.
200.318(b)	Non-Federal entities must maintain oversight to ensure that contractors perform in accordance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of their contracts or purchase orders.	The Board must maintain oversight of its contractors.

200.318(c)(1)	<p>The non-Federal entity must maintain written standards of conduct covering conflicts of interest and governing the performance of its employees engaged in the selection, award and administration of contracts. No employee, officer, or agent may participate in the selection, award, or administration of a contract supported by a Federal award if he or she has a real or apparent conflict of interest. Such conflict of interest would arise when the employee, officer, or agent, any member of his or her immediate family, his or her partner, or an organization which employs or is about to employ any of the parties indicated herein, has a financial or other interest in or a tangible personal benefit from a firm considered for contract. The officers, employees, and agents of the non-Federal entity must neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favors, or anything of monetary value from contractors or parties to subcontracts. However, non-Federal entities may set standards for situations in which the financial interest is not substantial or the gift is an unsolicited item of nominal value. The standards of conduct must provide for disciplinary actions to be applied for violations of such standards by officers, employees, or agents of the non-Federal entity.</p>	<p>The Board must have written standards of conduct covering conflicts of interest and governing the performance of its employees engaged in the selection, award, and administration of contracts. Board officers and employees (and their immediate family members, partners, and organizations which employ or are about to employ them) must not have a financial or other interest in a contract and must not solicit or accept gifts from contractors or subcontractors. The standards of conduct must provide for disciplinary actions for violations. <i>See Code of Conduct Governing Procurements Under a Federal Award.</i></p>
200.318(c)(2)	<p>If the non-Federal entity has a parent, affiliate, or subsidiary organization that is not a state, local government, or Indian tribe, the non-Federal entity must also maintain written standards of conduct covering organizational conflicts of interest. Organizational conflicts of interest means that because of relationships with a parent company, affiliate, or subsidiary organization, the non-Federal entity is unable or appears to be unable to be impartial in conducting a procurement action involving a related organization.</p>	<p>The Board’s conflict of interest policy must cover relationships with certain parent, affiliate, or subsidiary organizations, if any.</p>
200.318(d)	<p>The non-Federal entity’s procedures must avoid acquisition of unnecessary or duplicative items. Consideration should be given to consolidating or breaking out procurements to obtain a more economical purchase. Where appropriate, an analysis will be made of lease versus purchase alternatives, and any other appropriate analysis to determine the most economical approach.</p>	<p>The Board must avoid acquisition of unnecessary or duplicative items.</p>

200.318(e)	To foster greater economy and efficiency, and in accordance with efforts to promote cost-effective use of shared services across the Federal government, the non-Federal entity is encouraged to enter into state and local intergovernmental agreements or inter-entity agreements where appropriate for procurement or use of common or shared goods and services. Competition requirements will be met with documented procurement actions using strategic sourcing, shared services, and other similar procurement arrangements.	The Board is encouraged to use intergovernmental agreements or inter-entity agreements.
200.318(f)	The non-Federal entity is encouraged to use Federal excess and surplus property in lieu of purchasing new equipment and property whenever such use is feasible and reduces project costs.	The Board is encouraged to use Federal excess and surplus in lieu of purchasing new, when feasible.
200.318(g)	The non-Federal entity is encouraged to use value engineering clauses in contracts for construction projects of sufficient size to offer reasonable opportunities for cost reductions. Value engineering is a systematic and creative analysis of each contract item or task to ensure that its essential function is provided at the overall lower cost.	The Board is encouraged to use value engineering clauses in construction contracts of sufficient size.
200.318(h)	The non-Federal entity must award contracts only to responsible contractors possessing the ability to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of a proposed procurement. Consideration will be given to such matters as contractor integrity, compliance with public policy, record of past performance, and financial and technical resources.	The Board must award contracts to responsible contractors, after considering contractor integrity, compliance with public policy, past performance, and financial and technical resources.
200.318(i)	The non-Federal entity must maintain records sufficient to detail the history of procurement. These records will include, but are not necessarily limited to the following: rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, contractor selection or rejection, and the basis for the contract price.	The Board must maintain procurement records.

200.318(j)(1)	The non-Federal entity may use a time-and-materials type contract only after a determination that no other contract is suitable and if the contract includes a ceiling price that the contractor exceeds at its own risk. Time-and-materials type contract means a contract whose cost to a non-Federal entity is the sum of: (i) The actual cost of materials; (ii) Direct labor hours charged at fixed hourly rates that reflect wages, general and administrative expenses, and profit.	The Board may only use time-and-materials type contracts in limited circumstances.
200.318(j)(2)	Since this formula generates an open-ended contract price, a time-and-materials contract provides no positive profit incentive to the contractor for cost control or labor efficiency. Therefore, each contract must set a ceiling price that the contractor exceeds at its own risk. Further, the non-Federal entity awarding such a contract must assert a high degree of oversight in order to obtain reasonable assurance that the contractor is using efficient methods and effective cost controls.	The Board must set a ceiling price and assert a high degree of oversight on time-and-materials type contracts.
200.318(k)	The non-Federal entity alone must be responsible, in accordance with good administrative practice and sound business judgment, for the settlement of all contractual and administrative issues arising out of procurements. These issues include, but are not limited to, source evaluation, protests, disputes, and claims. These standards do not relieve the non-Federal entity of any contractual responsibilities under its contracts. The Federal awarding agency will not substitute its judgment for that of the non-Federal entity unless the matter is primarily a Federal concern. Violations of law will be referred to the local, state, or Federal authority having proper jurisdiction.	The Board must be responsible for settling contract disputes and administrative issues arising out of procurements.
200.319	Competition	
200.319(a)	All procurement transactions for the acquisition of property or services required under a Federal award must be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition consistent with the standards of this section and § 200.320.	The Board must conduct procurement transactions in a manner providing full and open competition.

<p>200.319(b)</p>	<p>In order to ensure objective contractor performance and eliminate unfair competitive advantage, contractors that develop or draft specifications, requirements, statements of work, and invitations for bids or requests for proposals must be excluded from competing for such procurements. Some of the situations considered to be restrictive of competition include but are not limited to: (1) Placing unreasonable requirements on firms in order for them to qualify to do business; (2) Requiring unnecessary experience and excessive bonding; (3) Noncompetitive pricing practices between firms or between affiliated companies; (4) Noncompetitive contracts to consultants that are on retainer contracts; (5) Organizational conflicts of interest; (6) Specifying only a “brand name” product instead of allowing “an equal” product to be offered and describing the performance or other relevant requirements of the procurement; and (7) Any arbitrary action in the procurement process.</p>	<p>Contractors that develop or draft specifications, requirements, statements of work, and invitations for bids or requests for proposals must be excluded from competing for such procurements. The Board must avoid practices that are restrictive of competition.</p>
<p>200.319(c)</p>	<p>The non-Federal entity must conduct procurements in a manner that prohibits the use of statutorily or administratively imposed state or local geographical preferences in the evaluation of bids or proposals, except in those cases where applicable Federal statutes expressly mandate or encourage geographic preference. Nothing in this section preempts state licensing laws. When contracting for architectural and engineering (A/E) services, geographic location may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract.</p>	<p>The Board is generally prohibited from using geographical preference in the evaluation of bids or proposals.</p>

200.319(d)	<p>The non-Federal entity must have written procedures for procurement transactions. These procedures must ensure that all solicitations: (1) Incorporate a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements for the material, product, or service to be procured. Such description must not, in competitive procurements, contain features which unduly restrict competition. The description may include a statement of the qualitative nature of the material, product or service to be procured and, when necessary, must set forth those minimum essential characteristics and standards to which it must conform if it is to satisfy its intended use. Detailed product specifications should be avoided if all possible. When it is impractical or uneconomical to make a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements, a “brand name or equivalent” description may be used as a means to define the performance or other salient requirements of procurement. The specific features of the named brand which must be met by offers must be clearly stated; and (2) Identify all requirements which the offerors must fulfill and all other factors to be used in evaluating bids or proposals.</p>	<p>The Board must have written procedures for procurement transactions that ensure that solicitations (1) incorporate a clear and accurate description of technical requirements and (2) identify all requirements the offeror must fulfill and all other factors to be used in evaluating bids or proposals.</p>
200.319(e)	<p>The non-Federal entity must ensure that all prequalified lists of persons, firms, or products which are used in acquiring goods and services are current and include enough qualified sources to ensure maximum open and free competition. Also, the non-Federal entity must not preclude potential bidders from qualifying during the solicitation period.</p>	<p>The Board must ensure all prequalified lists are current and include enough qualified sources to ensure open and free competition.</p>
200.319(f)	<p>Noncompetitive procurements can only be awarded in accordance with § 200.320(c).</p>	<p>Noncompetitive procurements must be awarded in accordance with § 200.320(c).</p>

200.320	Methods of Procurement to be Followed	
200.320	The non–Federal entity must have and use documented procurement procedures, consistent with the standards of this section and §§ 200.317, 200.318, and 200.319 for any of the following methods of procurement used for the acquisition of property or services required under a Federal award or sub-award.	The Board must have and use documented procurement procedures for procurements made under a Federal award or sub-award.
200.320(a)	Informal procurement methods. When the value of the procurement for property or services under a Federal award does not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (SAT), as defined in § 200.1, or a lower threshold established by a non–Federal entity, formal procurement methods are not required. The non–Federal entity may use informal procurement methods to expedite the completion of its transactions and minimize the associated administrative burden and cost. The informal methods used for procurement of property or services at or below the SAT include:	For purchases under the simplified acquisition threshold, or a lower threshold established by the Board, the Board may use informal procurement methods (micro-purchases and small purchases).
200.320(a)(1)	(1) Micro-purchases— (i) Distribution. The acquisition of supplies or services, the aggregate dollar amount of which does not exceed the micro-purchase threshold (See the definition of micro-purchase in § 200.1). To the maximum extent practicable, the non–Federal entity should distribute micro-purchases equitably among qualified suppliers. (ii) Micro-purchase awards. Micro-purchases may be awarded without soliciting competitive price or rate quotations if the non–Federal entity considers the price to be reasonable based on research, experience, purchase history or other information and documents its files accordingly. Purchase cards can be used for micro-purchases if procedures are documented and approved by the non–Federal entity.	Micro-purchases should be distributed equitably among qualified suppliers and may be awarded without soliciting competitive price or rate quotations if the Board considers the price to be reasonable based on research, experience, purchase history, or other information and documents its files accordingly.

<p>200.320(a)(1) <u>(continued)</u></p>	<p>(iii) Micro-purchase thresholds. The non-Federal entity is responsible for determining and documenting an appropriate micro-purchase threshold based on internal controls, an evaluation of risk, and its documented procurement procedures. The micro-purchase threshold used by the non-Federal entity must be authorized or not prohibited under State, local, or tribal laws or regulations. Non-Federal entities may establish a threshold higher than the Federal threshold established in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) in accordance with paragraphs (a)(1)(iv) and (v) of this section.</p> <p>(iv) Non-Federal entity increase to the micro-purchase threshold up to \$50,000. Non-Federal entities may establish a threshold higher than the micro-purchase threshold identified in the FAR in accordance with the requirements of this section. The non-Federal entity may self-certify a threshold up to \$50,000 on an annual basis and must maintain documentation to be made available to the Federal awarding agency and auditors in accordance with § 200.334. The self-certification must include a justification, clear identification of the threshold, and supporting documentation of any of the following:</p> <p>(A) A qualification as a low-risk auditee, in accordance with the criteria in § 200.520 for the most recent audit;</p> <p>(B) An annual internal institutional risk assessment to identify, mitigate, and manage financial risks; or,</p> <p>(C) For public institutions, a higher threshold consistent with State law.</p> <p>(v) Non-Federal entity increase to the micro-purchase threshold over \$50,000. Micro-purchase thresholds higher than \$50,000 must be approved by the cognizant agency for indirect costs. The non-federal entity must submit a request with the requirements included in paragraph (a)(1)(iv) of this section. The increased threshold is valid until there is a change in status in which the justification was approved.</p>	
---	---	--

<p>200.320(a)(2)</p>	<p>(2) Small purchases— (i) Small purchase procedures. The acquisition of property or services, the aggregate dollar amount of which is higher than the micro-purchase threshold but does not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold. If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources as determined appropriate by the non-Federal entity. (ii) Simplified acquisition thresholds. The non-Federal entity is responsible for determining an appropriate simplified acquisition threshold based on internal controls, an evaluation of risk and its documented procurement procedures which must not exceed the threshold established in the FAR. When applicable, a lower simplified acquisition threshold used by the non-Federal entity must be authorized or not prohibited under State, local, or tribal laws or regulations.</p>	<p>For small purchases, the aggregate dollar amount of which is higher than the micro-purchase threshold but lower than the simplified acquisition threshold, price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources.</p>
<p>200.320(b)</p>	<p>Formal procurement methods. When the value of the procurement for property or services under a Federal financial assistance award exceeds the SAT, or a lower threshold established by a non-Federal entity, formal procurement methods are required. Formal procurement methods require following documented procedures. Formal procurement methods also require public advertising unless a non-competitive procurement can be used in accordance with § 200.319 or paragraph (c) of this section. The following formal methods of procurement are used for procurement of property or services above the simplified acquisition threshold or a value below the simplified acquisition threshold the non-Federal entity determines to be appropriate:</p>	<p>For purchases that exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, or a lower threshold established by the Board, formal procurement methods must be used and public advertising may be required.</p>

200.320(b)(1)	<p>(1) Sealed bids. A procurement method in which bids are publicly solicited and a firm fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is the lowest in price. The sealed bids method is the preferred method for procuring construction, if the conditions [stet]. (i) In order for sealed bidding to be feasible, the following conditions should be present: (A) A complete, adequate, and realistic specification or purchase description is available; (B) Two or more responsible bidders are willing and able to compete effectively for the business; and (C) The procurement lends itself to a firm fixed price contract and the selection of the successful bidder can be made principally on the basis of price. (ii) If sealed bids are used, the following requirements apply: (A) Bids must be solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources, providing them sufficient response time prior to the date set for opening the bids, for local, and tribal governments, the invitation for bids must be publicly advertised; (B) The invitation for bids, which will include any specifications and pertinent attachments, must define the items or services in order for the bidder to properly respond; (C) All bids will be opened at the time and place prescribed in the invitation for bids, and for local and tribal governments, the bids must be opened publicly; (D) A firm fixed price contract award will be made in writing to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. Where specified in bidding documents, factors such as discounts, transportation cost, and life cycle costs must be considered in determining which bid is lowest. Payment discounts will only be used to determine the low bid when prior experience indicates that such discounts are usually taken advantage of; and (E) Any or all bids may be rejected if there is a sound documented reason.</p>	<p>In sealed bid procurements, bids are publicly solicited and the Board awards the contract to the lowest responsible bidder. The Board should use sealed bidding for procuring construction whenever complete, adequate, and realistic specifications are available, two or more responsible bidders are able to compete, and selection of a successful bidder can be made principally on the basis of price. If sealed bids are used, they must meet certain requirements. Any or all bids may be rejected if there is a sound documented reason.</p>
---------------	---	--

<p>200.320(b)(2)</p>	<p>(2) Proposals. A procurement method in which either a fixed price or cost-reimbursement type contract is awarded. Proposals are generally used when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids. They are awarded in accordance with the following requirements: (i) Requests for proposals must be publicized and identify all evaluation factors and their relative importance. Proposals must be solicited from an adequate number of qualified offerors. Any response to publicized requests for proposals must be considered to the maximum extent practical; (ii) The non-Federal entity must have a written method for conducting technical evaluations of the proposals received and making selections; (iii) Contracts must be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous to the non-Federal entity, with price and other factors considered; and (iv) The non-Federal entity may use competitive proposal procedures for qualifications-based procurement of architectural/engineering (A/E) professional services whereby offeror's qualifications are evaluated and the most qualified offeror is selected, subject to negotiation of fair and reasonable compensation. The method, where price is not used as a selection factor, can only be used in procurement of A/E professional services. It cannot be used to purchase other types of services though A/E firms that are a potential source to perform the proposed effort.</p>	<p>Proposals for fixed price or cost-reimbursement type contracts are generally used when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids. Proposals are awarded after requests for proposals are publicized with evaluation factors identified; an adequate number of offerors are solicited, considered and evaluated; and contracts are awarded to the responsible offeror with the most advantageous proposal.</p>
<p>200.320(c)</p>	<p>Noncompetitive procurement. There are specific circumstances in which noncompetitive procurement can be used. Noncompetitive procurement can only be awarded if one or more of the following circumstances apply: (1) The acquisition of property or services, the aggregate dollar amount of which does not exceed the micro-purchase threshold (see paragraph (a)(1) of this section); (2) The item is available only from a single source; (3) The public exigency or emergency for the requirement will not permit a delay resulting from publicizing a competitive solicitation; (4) The Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity expressly authorizes a noncompetitive procurement in response to a written request from the non-Federal entity; or (5) After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate.</p>	<p>The Board may procure goods via noncompetitive procurement only when the aggregate dollar amount does not exceed the micro-purchase threshold; the item is available only from a single source; in times of public emergency; when the Federal awarding agency expressly authorizes noncompetitive procurement; or competition is determined inadequate after solicitation of a number of sources.</p>

200.321	Contracting with Small and Minority Businesses, Women’s Business Enterprises, and Labor Surplus Area Firms	
200.321(a)	The non-Federal entity must take all necessary affirmative steps to assure that minority businesses, women’s business enterprises, and labor surplus area firms are used when possible.	The Board must take all necessary affirmative steps to assure that minority businesses, women’s business enterprises, and labor surplus area firms are used when possible.
200.321(b)	Affirmative steps must include: (1) Placing qualified small and minority businesses and women’s business enterprises on solicitation lists; (2) Assuring that small and minority businesses, and women’s business enterprises are solicited whenever they are potential sources; (3) Dividing total requirements, when economically feasible, into smaller tasks or quantities to permit maximum participation by small and minority businesses, and women’s business enterprises; (4) Establishing delivery schedules, where the requirement permits, which encourage participation by small and minority businesses, and women’s business enterprises; (5) Using the services and assistance, as appropriate of such organizations as the Small Business Administration and the Minority Business Development Agency of the Department of Commerce; and (6) Requiring the prime contractor, if subcontracts are to be let, to take the affirmative steps listed in paragraphs (1) through (5) of this section.	Affirmative steps include, among other things, placing qualified small and minority businesses and women’s business enterprises on solicitation lists; assuring such businesses are solicited whenever they are potential sources; dividing total requirements, when economically feasible, into smaller tasks or quantities; and establishing delivery schedules, where the requirement permits, which encourage participation by such businesses.
200.322	Domestic Preferences for Procurements	
200.322(a)	As appropriate and to the extent consistent with law, the non-Federal entity should, to the greatest extent practicable under a Federal award, provide a preference for the purchase, acquisition, or use of goods, products, or materials produced in the United States (including but not limited to iron, aluminum, steel, cement, and other manufactured products). The requirements of this section must be included in all subawards including all contracts and purchase orders for work or products under this award.	The Board will, to the greatest extent practicable, provide a preference for goods, products or materials produced in the United States.

200.322(b)	<p>For purposes of this section:</p> <p>(1) “Produced in the United States” means, for iron and steel products, that all manufacturing processes, from the initial melting stage through the application of coatings, occurred in the United States.</p> <p>(2) “Manufactured products” means items and construction materials composed in whole or in part of non-ferrous metals such as aluminum; plastics and polymer-based products such as polyvinyl chloride pipe; aggregates such as concrete; glass, including optical fiber; and lumber.</p>	
200.323	Procurement of Recovered Materials	
200.323	<p>A non-Federal entity that is a state agency or agency of a political subdivision of a state and its contractors must comply with section 6002 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The requirements of Section 6002 include procuring only items designated in guidelines of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at 40 CFR part 247 that contain the highest percentage of recovered materials practicable, consistent with maintaining a satisfactory level of competition, where the purchase price of the item exceeds \$10,000 or the value of the quantity acquired by the preceding fiscal year exceeded \$10,000; procuring solid waste management services in a manner that maximizes energy and recourse recovery; and establishing an affirmative procurement program for procurement of recovered materials identified in the EPA guidelines.</p>	<p>The Board must follow standards in procuring certain items over \$10,000 to ensure, among other things, the highest percentage of recovered materials practicable, consistent with maintaining a satisfactory level of competition.</p>
200.324	Contract Cost and Price	
200.324(a)	<p>The non-Federal entity must perform a cost or price analysis in connection with every procurement action in excess of the Simplified Acquisition Threshold including contract modifications. The method and degree of analysis is dependent on the facts surrounding the particular procurement situation, but as a starting point, the non-Federal entity must make independent estimates before receiving bids or proposals.</p>	<p>The Board must perform a cost or price analysis for every procurement in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold.</p>

200.324(b)	The non-Federal entity must negotiate profit as a separate element of the price for each contract in which there is no price competition and, in all cases, where cost analysis is performed. To establish a fair and reasonable profit, consideration must be given to the complexity of the work to be performed, the risk borne by the contractor, the contractor’s investment, the amount of subcontracting, the quality of its record of past performance, and industry profit rates in the surrounding geographical area for similar work.	The Board must negotiate profit for sole-source procurements and for procurements where cost analysis is performed.
200.324(c)	Costs or prices based on estimated costs for contracts under the Federal award are allowable only to the extent that costs incurred or cost estimates included in negotiated prices would be allowable for the non-Federal entity under Subpart E [Cost Principles] of this part. The non-Federal entity may reference its own cost principles that comply with the Federal cost principles.	Costs incurred or estimated costs are allowable only to the extent they comply with Federal Cost Principles.
200.324(d)	The cost plus a percentage of cost and percentage of construction cost methods of contracting must not be used.	The cost plus a percentage of cost and percentage of construction cost methods of contracting must not be used.
200.325	Federal Awarding Agency or Pass-Through Entity Review	
200.325(a)	The non-Federal entity must make available, upon request of the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity, technical specifications on proposed procurements when the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity believes such review is needed to ensure that the item or service specified is the one being proposed for acquisition. This review generally will take place prior to the time the specification is incorporated into a solicitation document. However, if the non-Federal entity desires to have the review accomplished after a solicitation has been developed, the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity may still review the specifications, with such review usually limited to the technical aspects of the proposed purchase.	The Board must make technical specs for procurements available upon request by the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity.

<p>200.325(b)</p>	<p>The non-Federal entity must make available upon request, for the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity pre-procurement review, procurement documents, such as requests for proposals or invitations for bids, or independent cost estimates, when: (1) The non-Federal entity’s procurement procedures or operation fails to comply with the procurement standards in this part; (2) The procurement is expected to exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold and is to be awarded without competition or only one bid or offer is received in response to a solicitation; (3) The procurement, which is expected to exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold, specifies a “brand name” product; (4) The proposed contract is more than the Simplified Acquisition Threshold and is to be awarded to other than the apparent low bidder under a sealed bid procurement; or (5) A proposed contract modification changes the scope of a contract or increases the contract amount by more than the Simplified Acquisition Threshold.</p>	<p>Upon request, the Board must make procurement documents available for pre-procurement review by the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity in a number of circumstances.</p>
<p>200.325(c)</p>	<p>The non-Federal entity is exempt from the pre-procurement review in paragraph (b) of this section if the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity determines that its procurement systems comply with the standards of this part. (1) The non-Federal entity may request that its procurement system be reviewed by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity to determine whether its system meets these standards in order for its system to be certified. Generally, these reviews must occur where there is continuous high-dollar funding, and third party contracts are awarded on a regular basis; (2) The non-Federal entity may self-certify its procurement system. Such self-certification must not limit the Federal awarding agency’s right to survey the system. Under a self-certification procedure, the Federal awarding agency may rely on written assurances from the non-Federal entity that it is complying with these standards. The non-Federal entity must cite specific policies, procedures, regulations, or standards as being in compliance with these requirements and have its system available for review.</p>	<p>The Board is exempt from pre-procurement review if the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity determines that its procurement systems comply with the standards of this part.</p>

200.326	Bonding Requirements	
200.326	For construction or facility improvement contracts or subcontracts exceeding the Simplified Acquisition Threshold, the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity may accept the bonding policy and requirements of the non-Federal entity provided that the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity has made a determination that the Federal interest is adequately protected. If such a determination has not been made, the minimum requirements must be as follows:	For construction or facility improvement contracts or subcontracts exceeding the simplified acquisition threshold, the Federal awarding agency or passthrough entity may accept the Board’s bonding requirements if it determines that its interest is adequately protected.
200.326(a)	A bid guarantee from each bidder equivalent to five percent of the bid price. The “bid guarantee” must consist of a firm commitment such as a bid bond, certified check, or other negotiable instrument accompanying a bid as assurance that the bidder will, upon acceptable of the bid, execute such contractual documents as may be required within the time specified.	The Board must require a bid guarantee of 5% of the bid price if the awarding agency or passthrough entity does not accept the Board’s bonding requirements.
200.326(b)	A performance bond on the part of the contractor for 100 percent of the contract price. A “performance bond” is one executed in connection with a contract to secure fulfillment of all the contractor’s obligations under such contract.	The Board must require a performance bond for 100% of the contract price if the awarding agency or passthrough entity does not accept the Board’s bonding requirements.
200.326(c)	A payment bond on the part of the contractor for 100 percent of the contract price. A “payment bond” is one executed in connection with a contract to assure payment as required by law of all persons supplying labor and material in the execution of the work provided in the contract.	The Board must require a payment bond for 100% of the contract price if the awarding agency or passthrough entity does not accept the Board’s bonding requirements.
200.327	Contract Provisions	
200.327	The non-Federal entity’s contracts must contain the applicable provisions described in Appendix II to Part 200- Contract Provisions for Non-Federal Entity Contracts Under Federal Awards.	The Board must include the Federal contract provisions in its contracts.