|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  **K PSF** | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 |
| District | Deficit 0 %Emerging 3.5 %Established 96.5 % | Deficit .5 %Emerging .5 %Established 98.9 % | Deficit 0%Emerging .5 %Established 99.5 % | Deficit 3.3 %Emerging 1.9 % Established 94.9 % | Deficit 1.6 %Emerging 2.1 %Established 96.4 % |
| Ariton | Deficit 0 %Emerging 6.1 %Established 93.9 % | Deficit 2.2 %Emerging 0 %Established 97.8 % | Deficit 0%Emerging 2.2 %Established 97.8 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 5 %Established 95 % | Deficit 4.1 %Emerging 2 %Established 93.9 % |
| Long | Deficit 0 %Emerging 1.8 %Established 98.2 %  | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % |
| Midland City | Deficit 0 %Emerging 2.9 %Established 97.1 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 1.6 %Established 98.4 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100%  | Deficit 9 %Emerging 2.6 %Established 88.5 % | Deficit 2.2 %Emerging 6.5 %Established 91.3 % |
| Newton | Deficit 0 %Emerging 3.7 %Established 96.3 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % |
| **1st ORF** | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 |
| District | Deficit 4.2 %Emerging 15 %Established 80.8 % | Deficit 3.3 %Emerging 17.8 %Established 79 % | Deficit 5.3 %Emerging 18.3 %Established 76.4 % | Deficit 4.6 %Emerging 14.5 %Established 80.9 % | Deficit 1.7 %Emerging 14.2 %Established 84.2  |
| Ariton | Deficit 8.3 %Emerging 16.7 %Established 75 % | Deficit 8 %Emerging 22 %Establish 70 % | Deficit 4.8 %Emerging 9.5 %Established 85.7 % | Deficit 2 %Emerging 15.7 %Established 82.4 %  | Deficit 2.5 %Emerging 15 %Established 82.5 % |
| Long | Deficit 5.1 %Emerging 23.7 %Established 71.2 % | Deficit 1.7 %Emerging 18.6 %Established 79.7 % | Deficit 11.8 %Emerging 25 %Established 63.2 % | Deficit 7 %Emerging 16.9 %Established 76.1 % | Deficit 1.9 %Emerging 17 %Established 81.1 % |
| Midland City | Deficit 2.6 %Emerging 10.3 %Established 87.2 % | Deficit 1.3 %Emerging 6.7 %Established 92 % | Deficit 1.4 %Emerging 23.3 %Established 75.3 % | Deficit 4.5 %Emerging 10.2 %Established 85.2 % | Deficit 5.3 %Emerging 9.3 %Established 85.3 % |
| Newton | Deficit 2 %Emerging 12.2 %Established 85.7 % | Deficit 5.9 %Emerging 8.8 %Established 85.3 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 0 %Established 100 % | Deficit 3.2 %Emerging 19.4 %Established 77.4 % | Deficit 0 %Emerging 7.4 %Established 92.6 % |
| **2nd ORF** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 |
| District | Deficit 9 %Emerging 12.9 %Established 78.1 % | Deficit 11.2%Emerging 17.5 %Established 71.4 % | Deficit 9.1 %Emerging 15.5 %Established 75.3 % | Deficit 12 %Emerging 18.7 %Established 69.4 % | Deficit 12 %Emerging 12.4 %Established 75.5 % |
| Ariton | Deficit 2.1 %Emerging 22.9 %Established 75 % | Deficit 8.3 %Emerging 18.8 %Established 72.9 % | Deficit 16.3 %Emerging 18.4 %Established 65.3 % | Deficit 9.1 %Emerging 22.7 %Established 68.2 % | Deficit 13.7 %Emerging 21. %Established 64.7 % |
| Long | Deficit 8.5 %Emerging 3.4 %Established88.1 % | Deficit 14.5 %Emerging 14.5 %Established 70.9 % | Deficit 9.2 %Emerging 21.5 %Established 69.2 % | Deficit 20 %Emerging 15.4 %Established 64.6 % | Deficit 16.2 %Emerging 6.8 %Established 77 % |
| Midland City | Deficit 11.4 %Emerging 12.9 %Established75.7 % | Deficit 11 %Emerging 24.7 %Established 64.4% | Deficit 6.4 %Emerging 12.8 %Established 80.8 % | Deficit 7.9 %Emerging 21.1 %Established 71.1 % | Deficit 7 %Emerging 12.8 %Established 80.2 % |
| Newton | Deficit 15.2 %Emerging 15.2 %Established 69.7 % | Deficit 10 %Emerging 3.3%Established 86.7 % | Deficit 3.7 %Emerging 3.7 %Established 92.6 % | Deficit 8.3 %Emerging 12.5 %Established 79.2 % | Deficit 13.3 %Emerging 10 %Established 76.7 % |